of the Plaintiffs beginning for the same at black A on the plat which point was admitted by defendant to be the true beginning of the tract and running as shown on the plate by the plain black lines numbers from one to sixteen inclusive.

The plaintiffs then proved by the Surveyor that he had located Military Lot No. 3138. by starting at black B on the plate being the end of seventy perches on the sixteenth line of The Blooming Rose tract as located by the plaintiffs and running thence by the courses and distances of said lot as shown by the plain black lines marked with black figures 1, 2, 3 to red C thence to the beginning at black B.

Also proved that he had located the lines of Military Lot 3139: Beginning at the end of the first line of Lot 3138, shown on the plat at black W. and running thence to the end of the sixteenth line of the Blooming Rose to a bounded chestnut (not found) but fixed by the location of the sixteenth line of the Blooming Rose as located by the plaintiffs then with the plain black lines marked 1, 2, 3, 4. in black figures; And then proved that they had located the tract called Kensington as patented 23d July, 1834, beginning for the same at black B, the end of the first line of said tract on the plat and running with the first line of each of the lots 3138 and 3139, as located by the plaintiffs to the end of the sixteenth line of the Blooming Rose, as located by the plaintiffs, and located the third line of said tract by actual survey, then went to black E on the plate and reversed the given or fourth line of Lot 3138 to red E on the plat and that the balance of the tract he located by protraction the beginning thereof being at

block L. on the plate. The plaintiffs then offered in evidence five different locations of the deed from the Frantz heirs to Elijah Friend for the part Kensington described therein as shown by the plats and return.

The plaintiffs then offered to prove that Harned, a surveyor employed by the plaintiffs in 1883 had run a line claimed by them to be the sixteenth line of the Blooming Rose shown on the plate by plain black lines shaded in yellow from red A to red R. purposing to follow the same up by testimony showing that W. H. H. Friend, the defendant had in the year 1879 pointed out to some of his employees the course of the line afterwards run by Harned as the dividing line between his lands and David Friend one of the plaintiffs at that time living on the Elijah Friend's part of Kensington to which evidence so far as the same was offered to show the location of the sixteenth line of Blooming Rose or as evidence of a possession or as to the true location of said line or to show knowledge that that was his line defendant objected and the Court overruled his objection to which ruling the defendant excepted. And the plaintiffs then gave said testimony under said ruling and gave evidence tending to show that the defendant claimed that his line was at that place and that he had told several of his employees that his land only extended to that line shown on the plat from red A to red R.

The plaintiffs to further sustain the issues on their part joined, offered evidence tending to show that at different times during the time which the heirs of George Frantz lived on the property described in their deed to Elijah Friend and more than twenty years