lands conveyed by Alexander, trustee, to Ellicott, and by Ellicott to the Farmers' Bank of Maryland, as mentioned in plaintiffs exceptions, as fully as if the judgment, and proceedings of the sheriff, and copies of deeds mentioned were set out in full in this record.

E. C. Gantt,
Plaintiffs Attorney.
Jas. Revell
Jno. Ireland,
Defdts' Attys.

April 28, 1891.

And afterwards, to wit, on the 23rd day of May, 1891, the said plaintiff, by his attorneys aforesaid, filed in Court here the following, to wit:

Baker Johnson,	Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County.
vs	No. 33 Trials.
William B. Turner, and Louisa S. Turner.	April Term, 1891.

Mr. Harwood, Clerk:- Enter an appeal in this case and send up record.

E. C. Gantt,
Richard M. Duvall.
Plaintiffs Attorneys.

May 23rd, 1891.

State of Maryland,
Anne Arundel County, Sct.

I hereby certify, that the aforegoing is a true transcript taken from the record of proceedings of the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County, in the therein

named cause.

In testimony whereof, I hereunto subscribe my name, and affix the seal of the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County aforesaid, this 18th day of July, in the year eighteen hundred and ninety one.

(Seal's Place)

Spragg Harwood,
Clk. Ct. Ct. for A. A. Co.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Baker Johnson by Edward C. Gantt, and R. M. Duvall, Esqrs. his Attorneys, as the said William B. Turner, and Louisa S. Turner by James Revell, John Ireland, and R. B. Tippett Esqrs. their Attorneys, and thereupon, on motion of the said William B. Turner, and Louisa by their Attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here that the said Baker Johnson assign the errors in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, will be entered against him in default thereof; and the said Baker Johnson by his Attorneys aforesaid, says that in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit: that by the Record aforesaid, it appears that the judgment, aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Wm. B. Turner, and Louisa S. Turner, against the said Baker Johnson when, by the law of the land, that judgment ought to have been given for the said Baker Johnson against the said Wm. B. Turner, and Louisa S. Turner therefore, in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the judg-