said property at public sale prior to October 1821, state what personal knowledge you have on this subject.

14th How many acres of land were included in the sheriffs Deed to you.

15th How were lands selling in Washington County in 1805 and 1811 and thereabout, State your best recollection.

16th How long have you been residing in Washington County, and been generally familiar with the lands that have been offered at public sale in said County.

17th Do you know of any other matter or thing in favor of the Complainants, touching the matters in controversy in this case, state your knowledge fully.

And thereupon the said Peter, Daniel and Frederick Bell administrators of Frederick Bell decd by their solicitor aforesaid file in Court here, their 2d supplement to their original Bill of Complaint in the words and of the tenor following, to wit.

Peter Bell, and others, vs. William Webb and als. } In Washington County Court, as a Court of Equity. To the Hon. Judges of Washington County Court as a Court of Equity.

The Petition of Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell, respectfully sheweth that on the 30th day of October 1837, they filed their original Bill in this Court against Wm Webb, Peter Mong & als, And on the 22d day of June 1840, filed a certain supplement to said Bill. Your Petitioners further show, that since filing the said supplement, they have discovered other facts, not set forth in said Bill or its supplement, and which they are advised are material to their case. And they now charge in addition to the matters contained in the said Bill and its supplement, That the said Webb and Mong contrary to their duty as trustees under the Deed from Daniel Berger dated 27th day of July 1820, neglected to make any sufficient effort to sell the trust property until the Month of October 1821, at which time executions were taken out against said property and the same was exposed to public sale by the sheriff of Washington County, That the said Webb and Mong were personally responsible on the Judgments upon, which said executions were taken out and they were the same to pay which said Deed of trust was in part made, That at said sheriffs sale the said Webb and Mong procured Marmaduke W Boyd to purchase the property for their use for the sum of $1010 which sum was advanced by the said Webb and Mong and was not one third of the real value of the property That before the said sheriffs sale the said Webb and Mong as trustee if they had fairly and in good faith offered the said property at public sale they might have obtained a much larger price for the same and the said Webb and Mong permitted the said sheriffs sale to be injured and prejudiced by their own trust Deed in order to become the purchasers of said property at a sacrifice of their cestui que trust and for their own profit. That said Boyd did buy up said property for the said Webb and Mong and afterwards made a Deed to said Mong of the same, in pursuance of said arrangement that the said Webb and Mong have so abused their trust that they have wantonly sacrificed every interest but

their own, and have made such a disposition of the trust property as, if not corrected by this Hon. Court, will practically give them the said property as a free and gratuitous gift. Your Petitioners further charge, that said contrivances and other proceedings of the said Webb and Mong are a fraud upon all persons having claims against said estate and particularly upon your Petitioners and have been artfully contrived by the said Webb and Mong for their own profit and advantage and in violation of their duty as trustees, Your Petitioners therefore pray that they may be permitted to file this as an additional supplement to said Bill of Complaint, That their said claim may be decreed to be satisfied out of said property. That said sheriffs sale and all other sales of said property to M. W Boyd, may be set aside on the ground of fraud, and that the said Mong may be decreed to hold said property subject to the trusts of the said Deed from Berger, And that your Petitioners may be allowed to file this as an additional supplement to their said Bill, and that all proceedings under the same may be agreeable to the rules of Equity as established and practiced in the Courts of Maryland. Your Petitioners will ever pray &c.

Jervis Spencer, Solicitor for Petitioners.

Whereupon the Court passed the following order, to wit.

Ordered by the Court this 1st day of August 1842, that the petitioners named in the foregoing Petition be allowed to file an additional supplement to the original Bill in this case, and that the above Petition be taken as said additional supplement and that a subpoena issue against said Defendants.

Th. Buchanan.

And thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, in conformity with the Act of Assembly is continued until the third Monday of November next.

At which said third Monday of November, being the twenty first day of the same Month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty two, come into Court here, as well the said Peter, Daniel and Frederick Bell, administrators aforesaid, by their solicitor aforesaid, as the said William Webb, Peter Mong and Marmaduke W Boyd, by their solicitor aforesaid, And thereupon the Counsel for the respective parties file in Court here the following agreement to wit.

It is agreed that this cause shall be proceeded in and disposed of by the Court, without any answers being filed to the 2d supplemental Bill, but that the answers filed before shall be received and taken as answers to the said 2nd supplemental Bill. J. Spencer, for Compltl.

Decr 22d 1842. W. Price, for Deftl.

At the same time the Counsel for the respective parties file in Court here the following agreement, viz.

The price of the land referred to in Boyd's testimony as having been sold by Andrew Hershey, Trustee, on the 4th day of May 1820, it is agreed by Counsel shall be stated at $70 per acre, and that this statement shall stand as evidence in the place of the Trustees report of sale, filed in this case.

At the same time, the said Complainants, by Jervis Spencer their solicitor aforesaid, file in Court here the following copy of Judgment, to wit.