Washington County Court, March Term 1819.

Henry Lewis use of John Harry vs. Jacob Rohrer Jr. & Daniel Burger and Jacob Hessinger his sureties. Case. Judgment to Nar for $150, Damd & costs, to be released on payment of $52, with int. from 20th October 1817, till paid and $8.58 costs. Fifa issued 22d Oct. 1819 agt. Jacob Rohrer Jr. and Daniel Burger and Jacob Hessinger his sureties, payment $37.68 made 21st March 1820. The above is the only Judgment of Henry Lewis agt. Jacob Rohrer Jr. at this term.

O. H. Williams, Clk.

Whereupon all and singular the premises being seen and by the Court fully considered, the Court passed Decree therein, as follows to wit.

The administrators of Frederick Bell, decd. vs. Wm. Webb & Peter Mong. In Washington County Court, sitting as a Court of Equity, Nov. Term 1842. The above cause being ready for hearing and being fully argued by Counsel & the Bill, answers and proceedings being fully read and considered. It is thereupon this 24th day of December 1842 adjudged, ordered and decreed, that the Bill of the Complainants be and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Jno. Buchanan.
Hr. Buchanan.

And thereupon the said Peter, Daniel and Frederick Bell, administrators aforesaid by their solicitor aforesaid, pray that they may appeal from the Decree aforesaid, of the Court here, so as aforesaid passed, to the High Court of Appeals, and to them the same is granted by the Court here, thereupon it is ordered by the Court here that a record of the proceedings aforesaid, with all things thereunto relating, be transmitted to the High Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland, to be held for the Western Shore of said State on the second Monday of June next, and the same is transmitted accordingly.

Test. O. H. Williams, Clk.

Maryland, Washington County, to wit.
I hereby certify that the foregoing is truly taken from the Record of proceedings of Washington County Court aforesaid.
In Testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of Washington County Court this 23d day of May, in the year 1843.

(Seal) O. H. Williams, Clk.

Note, See agreement of Counsel to consider genl. replications to answers of Defendants, as making a part of the record said agreement being herewith transmitted.

O. H. Williams, Clk.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Peter Bell Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators of Frederick Bell by Jervis Spencer their attorney, as the said William Webb & others by William Price their attorney; and thereupon, on motion of the said William Webb & others by their attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the Decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be entered against them in default thereof. And the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell Administrators

as aforesaid, by their attorney aforesaid, say that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the Decree aforesaid, in the cause aforesaid given, was given for the said William Webb & others against the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid when by the law of the land, that Decree ought to have been given for the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid against the said William Webb & others therefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray, that the Decree aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that they the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said Decree, and that the said William Webb & others may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said William Webb & others join in the errors by the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said William Webb & others by their attorney aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to them to join in the error by the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the first Monday in December next, and to them it is granted, the same day is also given to the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid. At which said first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty three which said day was given to the said William Webb & others to join in the errors by the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said William Webb & others by their attorney aforesaid, say, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here, will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Peter Bell, Daniel Bell and Frederick Bell administrators as aforesaid above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth, and the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid, file in Court here an agreement, which is in the words and figures following to wit.

Peter Bell & al. vs. Wm. Webb, Peter Mong. Appeal from Washington County Court as a Court of Equity. The general replication to the answers of the Defendants in this case having been omitted in the record, it is agreed that upon the trial of the appeal in the Court of Appeals, the said replication shall be considered, as having been regularly filed and making a part of the record.

Jervis Spencer, Solr. for Complts.
Wm. Price, for Deft.