complainant who was then residing with her said brother, and which this respondent was informed and believes the said complainant gave sold or otherwise disposed of to one of her relations, and this respondent further answering states that she has found amongst the papers of her said deceased husband an appraisement of certain property, part of the personal estate of his deceased father the said Francis T Mitchell, and which she believes to be the personal property of said deceased in the said house in Saratoga Street at the time of his death and which she herewith produces as a part of this answer marked Exhibit E. A. M. No. 3, and this respondent further answering states that whether the said personal property or any part thereof was sold or how otherwise disposed of and by whom or to whose use she has not been informed and does not know. and this respondent further answering states that shortly before the death of the said James L Mitchell to wit on or about the twenty fifth day of April in the year eighteen hundred and thirty seven the said complainant became an inmate of the Nunnery otherwise called the monastery of the visitation at Georgetown in the District of Columbia, and which is a religious sisterhood, that she united herself as a member thereof with the said sisterhood and on or about the eighth day of September eighteen hundred and thirty seven she took the white veil being a ceremony of the Catholic Church indicative of an intention to become a nun professed and which after the requisite probation is consummated by the taking of the black veil where no impediments exist that the said complainant has not yet taken the black veil, but fully intends, to do so as soon as she will be allowed to do so, as this respondent was informed by herself as early as in the month of January eighteen hundred and thirty eight. And this respondent suggests that being united as she now is, with the said religious sisterhood the said complainant under the provisions of said testament and last will, has no right or interest to or in the specific property so devised in trust nor any right or title to maintain a suit in respect of the same and this respondent further suggests that although Francis T Mitchell a son of the said testator and in his said testament and last will named has departed this life, yet that Henry T Mitchell another son of the said testator and in his said testament and last will named is living and is interested in the subject matter of this suit, so far as respects said property so devised in trust but is nevertheless no party to the said bill either as plaintiff or as defendant, And this respondent further answering states that true it is that the said Francis T Mitchell the testator in and by his said testament and last will did declare it to be his will and desire that the said James L Mitchell by deed duly executed and delivered should relinquish and release to the said complainant the undivided interest of him the said James L Mitchell as tenant in common with the said complainant to a portion or share of the estate real and personal and mixed of Doctor James Davidson, of Queen Anne's County deceased and to which said portion the said

James L Mitchell and said complainant were so entitled as tenants in common in right of their deceased mother who was a daughter of said Doctor James Davidson, or in lieu thereof that the said James L Mitchell should pay to the said complainant the sum of five thousand dollars lawful money for and with the payment of which said sum in case of his refusal or omission to relinquish and release as aforesaid, the said testator charged that portion of his estate and property so devised and bequeathed to the said James L Mitchell for his own use and benefit, but that the said testator in so declaring his will and desire also at the same time declared it to be his will and desire that if the said complainant should die without having been married or should be united to any religious sisterhood as aforesaid for ten years in immediate succession, that the right estate portion and share which the said James L Mitchell had in the estate of his said Grandfather and which he might have so released and relinquished agreeably to the said testators will and desire should revert to the said James L Mitchell his heirs and assigns as upon reference to said copy hereinbefore exhibited will more fully appear and this respondent further answering states that the said Doctor James Davidson died intestate owning at the time of his death certain real estate lying in Queen Annes County called Cedar Dale and which descended to his heirs at law and to one undivided third part whereof the said complainant and the said James L Mitchell were together entitled in right of their deceased mother and which said real estate upon certain proceedings had under the act to direct descents in Queen Anne's County Court was sold by the commissioners appointed in that behalf for the sum of two thousand and one hundred dollars or thereabouts and no more, that this sale was made sometime in or about the month of September eighteen hundred and thirty six, but whether the said sale has been confirmed or not, and if confirmed, whether the proceeds have been as yet distributed, this respondent has not been informed and does not know. and this respondent further answering states that she has not been able to learn and does not know what was the clear surplus personal estate of the said Doctor James Davidson, but she states that by an account settled by the said Francis T Mitchell in his life time as administrator to wit, on the thirteenth day of November in the year eighteen hundred and twenty four he was charged with a balance of estate of two thousand and forty nine dollars and seven cents, but whether the said balance was in money or in specifics, she has not been informed and does not know. And this respondent herewith exhibits a copy of said account as a part of this answer marked Exhibit E. A. M. No. 4, And this respondent further answering states that the said James L Mitchell never did receive any part or portion of the estate real personal or mixed of the said Doctor James Davidson nor any part or proportion of the rents issues, or profits thereof that the said James L Mitchell as this respondent always understood from him considered the said complainant as entitled under the operation of his fathers will to demand at any time an assignment