Mem: The Exhibits referred to in the aforegoing answer (except Exhibit H) will be found returned with the commission and Exhibit H was not filed. — and afterwards to wit on the 15th day of December 1838 a writ of subpoena was renewed against the defendants Susan Jones and Charles Jones returnable to the next Term of the said Court to wit, March Term 1839. — And afterwards to wit on the 8th day of March 1839 came the Defendant Charles Jones by his counsel Somerville Pinkney and Alexander Randall Esquire and filed in the said cause his petition in the words following to wit. —

To the Honorable, Theodorick Bland, Chancellor of Maryland. —

The petition of Charles Jones of Calvert County respectfully represents that he has been made a party defendant in the suit pending in this Honorable Court in which William Plater administrator of John R Plater is complainant and Washington Jones and others are defendants and that in the bill of revivor in said cause he is sued as an infant under the age of twenty one years. — Your petitioner states that since said bill was filed he hath attained the age of twenty one years, He therefore prays leave to defend the said suit as an adult and herewith files his answer to the several bills on file in the cause. —

S. Pinkney, & A. Randall, for pet. —

Calvert County to wit, On this 4th day of March 1839 personally appears Charles Jones before me the subscriber a Justice of the peace for said County and makes oath that the facts stated in the aforegoing petition are true. — Sworn before, Hezekiah Coberth.

On which petition the Chancellor passed the following order. —

In Chancery 8th March 1839.

Ordered, that the answer of the said defendant now of full age be received as prayed by the foregoing application. —

Theodorick Bland, Chr.

And thereupon the answer of the said defendant Charles Jones was duly filed in the said cause in the words following to wit. —

To the Honble Theodorick Bland Chancellor of Maryland. —

The answer of Charles Jones of Calvert County to the bill of complaint amended bill and bill of revivor of William Plater administrator of John A Plater against him and others in the Court of Chancery of Maryland Exhibited. — His defendant sued as an infant under the age of twenty one years having since the filing of complainants bill attained the age of twenty one years, in answer to the complainants bills, begs leave to refer to the answer of Washington, William and Edward Jones, and the exhibits therein referred to, heretofore to wit, on the 6th day of September 1838 filed in this cause he claiming all the benefit of the several statements and facts therein set forth and being willing to abide by all, said statements and the admissions and defences therein set forth. — His defendant knows nothing further in relation to said case than what is set forth in said answer, and he prays to be hence dismissed &c. —

S. Pinkney —
A. Randall for Deft.

Calvert County to wit, On this 4th day of March 1839 personally appears Charles Jones the Defendant named in the aforegoing answer

and makes oath that the facts set forth and referred to in said answer are true to the best of his knowledge and information. — Sworn before the subscriber a Justice of the peace for Calvert County. —

Hezekiah Coberth. —

And afterwards to wit, on the 12th day of March 1839 came the complainant aforesaid by his solicitor aforesaid and filed in the said cause his petition in the words following to wit. —

William Plater, adm^r of John A Plater, vs Edward Jones and Sarah, his wife and others,	In Chancery. — To the Honorable Theodorick Bland, Chancellor of Maryland. — The petition of the complainant respectfully represents that since the filing of his original bill in this case Susan Jones one of the defendants intermarried with a certain John Hoopper of Calvert County, and one Anthony Tall of the same County has acquired an interest in the subject of the controversy by purchasing a part of the estate of the defendant William Jones and he therefore prays your Honor to permit him to make an amendment of his bill, so as to make the said John Hoopper and wife, and Anthony Tall defendants, and to grant him such other and further relief as the nature of his case may require, and he will pray &c.

J. Johnson, for Compl^t. —

On which petition the Chancellor passed the following order. —

In Chancery, 12th March 1839

Ordered that the complainant have leave to amend his bill as prayed by the aforegoing petition. —

Theodorick Bland, Chr.

And thereupon the complainant aforesaid by his solicitor aforesaid filed in the said cause his amended bill against John Hoopper and Susan his wife and Anthony Tall in the words following to wit:

To the Honble Theodorick Bland Chancellor of Maryland. —

The amended Bill of Complaint of William Plater administrator of John A Plater humbly shows, that heretofore he filed his bill of complaint in this Honorable Court against certain Edward Jones and others for relief and so forth. — That since the filing of the said bill Susan Jones one of the defendants intermarried with one John Hoopper of Calvert County and a certain Anthony Tall of the same County has together with Edward Jones, one of the defendants, acquired by purchase the interest in the real estate in the proceedings mentioned of William Jones, another of the defendants, and that consequently the said John Hoopper and wife, and Anthony Tall are necessary parties to the bill. — To the end therefore that the said John Hoopper and Susan his wife, and the said Anthony Tall may answer the aforesaid original bill of complaint, and this amendment, and that your orator may have adequate relief in the premises, May it please your Honor to direct that subpoenas issue for the said John Hoopper and Susan his wife and Anthony Tall, commanding them to be and appear in this Court, to answer the premises, and that your orator may have such other and further relief in the premises, as the nature of his case may require, and he will ever pray &c. — J. Johnson, Sol^r for Compl^t,

And thereupon a writ of subpoena was accordingly issued against the