was required by him of you to be paid or secured to be paid to him at the time of the lending thereof for and on account of the same.
4th Did or did not the said sum of money and interest as aforesaid constitute the sole consideration for the execution of the deed of mortgage by you of the 23d January 1835 filed as complts Exhibit H. I.
5th Did or did not the complainant since the expiration of the time limited for the payment of the money mentioned in the said deed of Mortgage marked complainants Exhibit H. I. require to be paid or secured to be paid and which was in fact paid by you to him from time to time a sum of money equal to an interest at the rate of ten per centum per annum on the said sum of money in the said deed of mortgage mentioned as a consideration for the indulgence to you by him in the payment of the same.
6th Do you or do you not know any other thing material to the defendant Warfield.

John Mack Watkins for
N. Warfield

E.
Jacob Horn
vs.
Watkins & others

Filed with the Commissioner A. G. Henshaw.
In Chancery
Cross Int[s] filed by complainant and sent to Jno W Watkins a witness produced by deft.

1st Int[y]. How was the said loan ref[d] to you in your answer to deft 2d Int[y] was it in Bank notes, check or in specie, was the extra interest mentioned by you deducted from any payment or indorsement in the note of $500.00.
2nd Did you or did you not give notes for the interest on said loan of $500 if yea how many their dates amounts &c.
3d Int[y] Did the complainants in this cause require or demand of you to pay any additional interest after the expiration of the said two years for which said mortgage lead to run if yea at what rate of interest at what times was said money paid (or if in work when was said work done the amt thereof and state all the knowledge you possess in relation to said additional interest paid by you.
4th Int[y] Was or was not the said work ref[d] to in your answer to deft
5th Int[y] voluntarily done upon your part and not demanded as interest on the part of said complainant as additional interest on said loan and did you or did you not consider it in that light, did the said complainant receive from you any evidence of said additional interest whereby the amount of said additional interest if any would be recovered.
5th Int[y] Was or was not the said work done by you in consideration of the non payment on your part of the interest due by you on the principal of said mortgage claim and not on acct of principal of said mortgage claim itself.

F.
Jacob Horn
vs.
Nathl Warfield
and others

Filed with the Commissioner A. G. Henshaw.
In Chancery
Interrogatories filed by the complainant in this cause to be propounded to James Blair Esq[r] a witness produced on the part of said complainant.
1st Int[y] Do you know the parties in this cause, if yea which of them and how long have you known them or either of them.
2nd Int[y] Were you or were you not employed by Nath[l] Warfield one of the defendants in this cause to prepare an assignment of lease or some other instrument of writing from John W Watkins one of the defendants in this cause to him conveyed and assigning a house and lot upon Pine Street in the city of Balt[o] then belonging to said Watkins if yea state the time when said assignment was so prepared by you and who was present at that time.
3d Int[y] Did or did not the said Warfield then state to you in order that you might prepare the said assignment the terms and conditions on which he had purchased the said house & lot from said Watkins state particularly the said terms and conditions the consideration of said purchase and also all the conversation that took place at that time in relation to said purchase.
4th Int[y] Did or did not the said Warfield and Jno W Watkins the parties to said sale at that time and at that interview speak of any mortgage claims as being upon said property if so what was said about them or any of them was or was not any deduction or allowance made in consequence of any such mortgage claims and what was it, state all the conversation that occured on that occasion particularly and at large.
5th Int[y] Did you or did you not receive instructions from Warfield & Watkins or either of them for preparing the deed of assignment if so did you or did you not according to such instructions prepare said deed for said House and lot from the said John W Watkins to the said Nath[l] Warfield if yea what was the consideration contained in said deed of assignment and how was that consideration fixed or ascertained.
6th Int[y] Was or was not said deed of assignment or other instrument of writing after being prepared by you for the conveyance of the above mentioned property signed and sealed by the said Jno W Watkins in presence of a witness and if so who did you or did you not, subscribe your name as a witness to the same and did or not the said Jno W. Watkins then acknowledge the same before two of the justices of the peace in and for the City of Balt[o] and who were they, and did they subscribe a certificate of said acknowledgment.
7 Int[y] Do you know any thing further material for the complainant in this cause, if yea state the same.

R. H. Moale, Solr for Complt.

G.
Jacob Horn
vs.
Nathan[l] Warfield
and others

Filed with the Commissioner, A. G. Henshaw.
In Chancery
Cross Interrogatories to be propounded to John W. Watkins a defendant in this cause.
1st Int[y] who was employed to draw the deed of assignment from you to Nath[l] Warfield the defendant in this cause conveying the house and lot upon Pine Street in the City of Balt[o] mentioned in the proceedings in this cause.
2d Int[y] What were the terms and conditions of the sale as made by you to the said Warfield of the said property the exact amount of the purchase money &c.