Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

At which said first monday in December being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty four come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here on the second Monday in June next to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court, now here, as yet are not fe.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty five come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Thomas C Stump above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the passing of the Decree aforesaid. Therefore the Court of Appeals here proceeded to pass such a Decree as the Harford County Court sitting as a Court of Equity should have passed.

Thomas C. Stump, } Court of Appeals, W. S.
vs. } December Term 1845.
Maria C Miller, } No. 35.

This cause coming on to be heard, having been argued & fully considered by the Court, It is thereupon this twenty second day of January eighteen hundred & forty six, By this Court, and by and with the authority thereof ordered, adjudged and decreed, That the Decree of the Court of Harford County as a Court of Equity heretofore passed in this cause be and the same is hereby reversed and that the Bill in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with costs to the appellant in the Court of Harford County and in this Court.

Thos. B. Dorsey
E. F. Chambers
Asa Spence
A. C. Magruder
Robert N. Martin

(Signed)

Test. Richard W Gill, Clerk.

Maria C Miller, vs. Thomas C Stump, } Appeal from the Equity side of Harford County Court Decree affirmed with costs by the Court the 22nd day of January 1846.

Same proceedings as in the foregoing cause.

Test. Richard W Gill, Clerk.

Ex.

Isaac Rogers, and Joseph M. Rogers, vs. Henry Scarff, Thomas Hope, Philip A Small, Samuel Small, and Christopher Geiger,

Be it remembered, That now here on the second Monday in June being the tenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty four the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Isaac Rogers and Joseph M Rogers complainants and Henry Scarff, Thomas Hope & others defendants, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a Decree for the said Henry Scarff, Thomas Hope & others against the said Isaac Rogers and Joseph M Rogers and from which said Decree the said Isaac Rogers and Joseph M. Rogers appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit.

Maryland Sc.

At a Court of Chancery for the State of Maryland begun and held at the City of Annapolis on the first Tuesday of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty three.

Present.

The Honorable, Theodorick Bland, Chancellor.
Louis Gassaway, Register.

Were had (among others) the following proceedings.

Isaac Rogers, and Joseph M. Rogers, vs. Henry Scarff and Thomas Hope, Philip A. Small, Samuel Small and Christopher Geiger.

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the eighteenth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty one Henry Dorsey Esquire Clerk of Harford County Court transmitted to the Court of Chancery of the State of Maryland and filed in said Court his certificate in the words following to wit.

Isaac Rogers & Joseph Rogers, vs. Henry Scarff, Thomas Hope & others,

In Harford County Court as a Court of Equity 27th of April 1840 Bill and Exhibits A. B. C. filed and Subpa. and Injunction issued "summoned" and Injunction served on Scarff and Hope & non suit the others Jany 12th 1841 suggestion & affidavit filed and papers transmitted to the High Court of Chancery.

Copy of Docket Entries & Costs.

Test. Henry Dorsey Clk.