I certify that the above security in my opinion is amply sufficient.
Hugh Davey Evans, Solicitor in Chancery.
"approved 19th February 1836." Theodrick Bland, Chl.
And afterwards to wit on the 4th day of March 1836 the Trustee named in the said Decree filed in the said cause his trust bond duly approved by the Chancellor in the words following to wit.
Know all men by these presents that we Thomas S. Alexander and Ariland G. Stockett of Anne Arundel County in the State of Maryland are held and firmly bound unto the State of Maryland in the full and just sum of twenty thousand dollars current money to be paid to the said State of Maryland or its certain attorney to which payment well and truly to be made and done we bind ourselves and each of us our and each of our heirs executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents, sealed with our seals and dated this twenty fourth day of February in the year eighteen hundred and thirty six. Whereas by a decree of the Chancery Court of Maryland bearing date on the seventh day of January eighteen hundred and thirty six and passed in a cause in the said court wherein Samuel Maynard was complainant and George Fitzhugh and others were defendants the above bound Thomas S. Alexander has been appointed Trustee to make sale of certain real estate in the proceedings in said cause mentioned. Now the condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bounden Thomas S. Alexander do & shall well and faithfully perform the trust reposed in him by the said decree, or that may be reposed in him by any future decree or order in the premises then the above obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law.
Signed sealed and delivered in the presence of Ann E. Alexander. } Th. S. Alexander, (Seal) A. G. Stockett, (Seal)
The within security is sufficient in my opinion.
Somerville Pinkney.
"approved 4th March 1836." Theodrick Bland, Chl.
And the said appeal was granted and the proceedings ordered to be transmitted and the same were transmitted accordingly.
And afterwards to wit on the twelfth day of March 1839 came William S. M'Pherson administrator D. B. N. of Lewis Nettle deceased by his solicitor Thomas S. Alexander Esquire and filed in the said cause his amended and supplemental Bill of Complaint and Bill of revivor in the words following to wit.
To the Honorable Theodrick Bland Chancellor of Maryland.
The amended and supplemental Bill of Complaint and Bill of revivor of William S. M'Pherson of Frederick County administrator de bonis non of Lewis Nettle late of the City of Annapolis deceased humbly shows, That on or about the sixth day of April in the year eighteen hundred and thirty three a certain Samuel Maynard as administrator de bonis non of the said Lewis Nettle filed his Bill of complaint in this Court against certain George Fitzhugh Senior Mary Fitzhugh Daniel B. Fitzhugh and the President and Directors of the Bank of Maryland and therein amongst other things alleged that a certain George Fitzhugh Senior who is therein supposed to have been since dead conveyed unto one Walter Dulany who is since

deceased certain real and personal estate in order to secure amongst others the payment of a debt of two hundred and thirty pounds with interest which was then due and owing from said Fitzhugh to one Daniel Dulany since also deceased and that the said Walter in his life time afterwards conveyed the same premises unto the said defendants George, Mary and Daniel and that the said George, Mary and Daniel afterwards conveyed the same premises together with other property unto one Rebecca Dulany executrix of the said Daniel and to the said Walter Dulany in trust amongst other things to secure the payment of the aforesaid debt of two hundred and thirty pounds as also one other debt of four hundred pounds then due and owing from said grantors to the said Rebecca as executrix as aforesaid. And that said debts were duly assigned unto the said Lewis Nettle in his life time and that afterwards to wit on the twenty second day of April in the year eighteen hundred and twenty two the said defendants, George Mary and Daniel came to a settlement with the said Lewis and it was then made to appear that there was due on account the sum of five thousand nine hundred and fifteen dollars and thereupon the said defendants George, Mary and Daniel executed and delivered to said Lewis their bond with condition for payment of said sum with Interest thereon and that afterwards on or about the twenty sixth day of January in the year eighteen hundred and twenty five the said defendants executed and delivered unto the said Lewis another instrument of writing whereby it is admitted that said sum of money is a charge upon the aforesaid premises and that afterwards the said defendants conveyed the same premises or parcel thereof unto the President and Directors of the Bank of Maryland in trust or by way of mortgage to secure a debt due by them to said Bank, and that all the trusts of the deeds first and secondly herein before mentioned have been satisfied other than those which enured as aforesaid to the benefits of the said Lewis, and therefore the said Bill prayed amongst other things that the said premises might be sold for the purpose of raising the sum of money so as aforesaid admitted to be due to the said Lewis deceased.
And your orator further shows that afterwards the said Samuel Maynard filed in said cause his amended Bill of Complaint and therein amongst other things charged that George Fitzhugh the elder (who is supposed by the original Bill to have been dead) was alive and that it was pretended that the Bond and agreement in the said original Bill mentioned were in truth executed by the said George Fitzhugh the elder and not by George Fitzhugh Senior as was supposed by said Bill and that the said Walter Dulany and Rebecca Dulany resided in their life time out of the State of Maryland and that it was not certainly known whether they were dead or alive and if alive where they are to be found and if dead who are their representatives and whether they left any and prayed that the said George Fitzhugh the elder and Walter Dulany and Rebecca Dulany or their representatives might be made parties defendants to said cause and such relief granted thereon as his case might require.
And your orator further charges that other proceedings being had