Signed, Sealed and delivered in the presence of P. Lawrenson & J. Harrison Bett } R. Heide, (Seal)

Received on the day of the date of the foregoing from the parties of the second part thereto the sum of one dollar lawful money, the consideration within expressed to be paid by them to me.—
Witness P. Lawrenson, J. Harrison Bett — R. Heide —

State of Maryland, Baltimore City Set. — Be it remembered, and it is hereby certified that on the sixteenth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty two, before the subscribers two Justices of the peace of the State of Maryland in and for the City of Baltimore aforesaid, personally appears Rachel Heide, she being known to us to be the person who is named and described as and professing to be the party of the first part to the foregoing deed or Indenture and doth hereby acknowledge the said Indenture or Instrument of writing to be her act and deed. — In Testimony whereof we hereto subscribe our names on the day and year aforesaid. —
P. Lawrenson. — J. Harrison Bett. —

Received to be recorded, the 21st day of June 1842 at ¼ past 1 o'clock P.M. same day recorded and examined. — per, Hos. Hell, Clk. —

I hereby certify that the aforegoing is a true copy from Liber J.K. No. 324 folio 68, one of the Land records of Baltimore County. —
In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of Baltimore County Court this 14th day of March 1845. — (Seal) (Plan) A. M. Bradford, Clk. —

Upon which release of Mortgage was thereunder written to wit. —
This is the release of Mortgage referred to by Neilson Spurrier in his examination, and to be taken as if filed by him at said examination. —
John T. Lloyd, A. for Mr. & Jones.

Complainants Interrogatories A. filed before the commissioner the 5th day of December 1844. —

Baynard } Q. Batt. bo, bt, in Eq. 47 (1843 E. & L.) complainants
vs. } Interrogatories A. J. to be propounded to witnesses to
Jones et al } be produced on the part of the complainant. —

1st. Do you know the parties to this cause. —
2d. Do you or do you not know if there was any, and what intention and purpose of the five commissioners named in the award of the residuum of George Heides estate, a copy of which is now shewn to you marked "Compltt. Exhibit No. 2" to award lot A. on Exhibit No. 1, now shewn to you, to Eliza Baynard, what caused them to award them to award it to her, at the valuation thereof made in and by their award. Was or was not its value reduced from $1800 to 1400$ And if so why was it so reduced, by what name was lot A. known at the time of said award and since said award among the residuary devisees and others, how did said five commissioners act in dividing the residuum. —
3. Was or was not Mrs. E. Baynard required by the other residuary devisees to take lot A. and if so why was she required so to do, was or was not its value reduced, and if so why, —

4. Was or was not Mrs. E. Baynard put into possession of said Lot A. as soon as said award was made, say 9th June 1831 and has or has she not continued in possession thereof, and drawn the rents thereof from the time of said award until this time, —
5. Look at lots B. C. on Exhibit No. 1, are or are they not covered by one roof or tenement are or are not they held by one or by two titles, is or is not either or both and which of said titles, fee hold or leasehold, are or are not all the lots from Lombard Street to Pratt Street binding on Cheapside and Franklin Lane held by two titles, one to the front and the other to the rear of said lots, did or did not the residuary devisees know this, has or has not Rachel Heide had said lots in possession ever since the 11th March 1831 when the Commrs. of the Orphans Court to assign her dower made their assignment. —
6th. State all that occurred at and before the execution by Wm. Norris and wife and Rachel Heide of the mortgage dated 16th June 1842 to Morris, or said Jones at that time go down to Cheapside and look at lots A. B. C. shewn to on Exhibit No. 1. —
7th. Was or was not Eliza Baynard in possession of Lot A. at the date of said mortgage of 16 June 1842 and has or has she not continued in the possession thereof thence hitherto. —
5th. Dec. 1844. — J. M. Buchanan } Solrs for
John T. M'Culloh } Complainants

Deft. Cross Interrogatories B. filed before the Commissioner 16th December 1844. —

Eliza Baynard, } Cross interrogatories to Thomas Ferguson on
vs. } behalf of Morris & Jones. —
Morris & Jones &c.

1. Were you present at all or any & which of the meeting of the commissioners spoken of in your answers to the direct interrogatories, If yes, where did they take place, & when & who was present. —
2. Did the said commissioners make any other valuation of the property which they divided among the devisees, except that which is in their award. —
3. Who called on the said commissioners to give them instructions as to the manner in which they were to value and divide said property did you, and if you did who authorised you to do so. —
4. Were the transactions of which you speak in your answers to the direct interrogatories as having taken place among the devisees reduced to writing, If yes, produce the said writings. —
5. Were those transactions among the devisees had before or after the said commissioners made the award by which they divided the property.
6. Do you know the manner, or order in which said commissioners proceed in making their division & award. —
7. How did the executors put Mrs. Baynard in possession of lot A. did they go to said lot, or how, State particularly. —
John T. Lloyd, for Morris & Jones. —

Complainants additional Interrogatories filed before the Commissioner the 16th December 1844. —
In Baltimore County Court. In Equity. —