The within is exclusive of the value of the Land negroes &c. which are claimed by the Complainant in this Cause, which are valued at the sum of nine thousand.

Th S Alexander
L Glenn
J M Campbell

Filed by Wm Turnan Somerville the 26th of May 1847 and referred to in his answer to the Complainants second Interrogatory

Jno Carroll Comr

Balt Sept 26. 45

Dr Sir

If my Grandson has really a wish to settle himself an opportunity no presents itself that cant fail to be satisfactory in my judgement but would wish to advise with you as I know you have some influence with him. Will you have the goodness to come in monday forenoon & I will be at home.

Yrs Truly
C Waters

W T Somerville.

The following memorandums are contained in a pocket book filed in the cause.

Get a book for notes Collect judgment vs Dorsey close matters with Poe mark all stright pines that 14 or 15 inches at the earth with 3 notches on the south side begin at the East. hall up Blunts Corn file in the Mill if safe Locked Sheel 40 bushels at a time to grind out of See Spriggs tell him rent must be paid have bot a farm for C A Waters & must collect See how much Sand Ellicott has cut over. Should like to see the Bills of last purchases write about West if he cuts oak it will be at the same price of pine be cut oak of the spring

Charles A Waters
vs
F. G. Waters & others

In Baltimore County Court
In Equity

Mr Bradford- The solicitor of Charles R Howard and Charles A White (defendants in the above case) desires that the said suit may be removed to the High Court of Chancery and requests that the papers &c in said cause may be transmitted to said Court forthwith by the Clerk.

J Mason Campbell Solr

State of Maryland City of Baltimore ss.

Be it remembered and it is hereby certified that on this fouth day of January A D 1848 personally appeared James M Campbell the Defendants Solicitor in the above entitled cause Before me the subscriber a Justice of the Peace in and for the City of Baltimore and made oath upon the Holy Evangely of Almighty God that the removal of this cause is not desired to produce delay but to expedite the determination of said suit

J Hanson Belt

And afterwards towit on the seventh day of January one thousand eight hundred and forty eight came the defendant Charles R Howard by his Counsel James Mason Campbell Esquire and filed in the said Cause his answer to the said Bill in the words following towit:

Waters
vs
Waters & others

In Chancery

To the Honorable John Johnson Chancellor of Maryland:

The Separate answer of Charles R Howard to the Bill of Complaint.

This respondent refers to the answer of his wife which he adopts as his own & prays to be hence dismissed &c.

J M Campbell Solr for Resdt

Accepted without oath to have same effect as if sworn to

J Nelson for Complt
Complts Solr

And at the same time came the Complainants aforesaid by their Solicitor aforesaid and filed in the said Cause their exceptions in the words following towit:

The Complainants except to the following testimony taken by defendants.

1 To the second & third answer of Dr Alexr F Dulin and to such parts of it as are illegal & inadmissible testimony-

2 To the fourth answer of said Dulin as illegal & inadmissible testimony.

3 To the fifth answer as illegal inadmissible & irrelative

He further excepts to the Evidence of Mrs Eliza Webster

1 To the second answer as illegal & inadmissable evidence

2 To the third answer for the same reasons

3 To the fifth answer as being illegal irrelative & inadmissible

He further excepts to the second answer of Nelson Poe as irrelative inadmissible & irrelative

He further excepts to the third fourth & fifth answers of said Poe for the same reason

He further Excepts to the admissibily Evidence of Charles R Howard as he is a party to the second & a party in interest

And also to each of his answers because the same are inadmissible illegal & irrelative

J Glenn pro que

And at the same time came the Defendants aforesaid by their Counsel James Mason Campbell and Thomas S Alexander Esquires and filed in the said cause their Exceptions in the words following towit

C A Waters & wife
vs
F. G. Waters & others

The Defendants in this cause except to the admissibility and competency of so much of the Testimony of John L Hammond John Verney, Larkin Wade, Mrs Somerville Wm T Somerville, Addison Johnson, Rebecca Waters and witnesses for Complainant as is offered to prove by parol any contract entered into in Consideration of marriage by Charles Waters deceased, on the ground that parol evidence is inadmissible for such a purpose, and on other grounds.

J M Campbell Defts Solr

And to such testimony likewise on the ground that a proper foundation is not laid for the admission of such testimony.

Th S Alexander for Defts