At which said fourth Monday of February, being the 22d day of the same month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand, eight hundred and forty seven, to which said day the said Board of Commissioners of the Frederick Female Seminary, had leave to imparle, and then to answer to the writ aforesaid of the said State of Maryland.

Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties, and their attornies aforesaid, and by order of the Court here, thereon is further continued until the fourth Monday of October, next.

At which said fourth Monday of October, being the 25th day of the same month, in the year of our Lord one thousand, eight hundred and forty seven, comes into the County Court here, as well the said State of Maryland, by its attorney General aforesaid, as the said Board of Commissioners of the Frederick Female Seminary, by their Attorney aforesaid. Whereupon the said Corporation,

Demurrer

The Board of Commissioners For the Frederick Female Seminary Vs. State of Maryland.	Scire Facias in the Frederick County Court, February Term 1847.

And the said Corporation, by Joseph M. Palmer its attorney, comes and defends the wrong and injury &c., and says, that the said writ of Scire Facias in manner and form aforesaid, obtained and sued out of the said Court here, and the matters and things in the same contained and set forth, as abuses and misfeasances &c., are not sufficient in law to work or cause a forfeiture of the charter of the said Corporation, and that the said Defendant or Corporation, to the said writ of Scire Facias in manner and form made, has no necessity, nor is bound by the law of the land to answer. Wherefore the said Defendant prays judgment of the said writ of Scire Facias, and that the said State may be barred from having any Judgment of forfeiture of the charter and corporate franchises &c. &c.

And the said Defendant, by its Attorney aforesaid, further defends the wrong and injury &c., and says, that the first breach and charge in the said writ of Scire facias, of abuses and misfeasances of the corporate franchises and the matter and things in said first breach and charge contained, in manner and form, as they are charged and set forth against said Defendant, are not sufficient in law, to work or cause a forfeiture of the charter or corporate franchises; and that the said Defendant, to the said first breach or charge of abuses &c. in said writ of Scire facias in manner and form aforesaid made, has no necessity, nor is bound by the law of the land, to answer. Wherefore the said Defendant prays Judgment of the said writ of Scire facias that the said State may be barred from having any Judgment of forfeiture of the said charter and corporate franchises &c.

And the said Defendant, by its attorney aforesaid, further defends the wrong and injury &c., and says that the second breach and charge, in the said writ of Scire facias of abuse and misfeasance of the corporate franchises, and the matters and things in said second breach and charge contained, in manner and form, as they are charged and set forth

against the said Defendant, are not sufficient in law, to work or cause a forfeiture of the charter or corporate franchises; and that the said Defendant, to the said second breach or charge of abuse &c., in said writ of Scire facias, in manner and form aforesaid made, has no necessity, nor is bound by the law of the land to answer. Wherefore the said Defendant prays Judgment of the said writ of Scire facias that the said State may be barred from having any Judgment of forfeiture of the said charter and corporate franchises, &c.

And the said Defendant, by its said Attorney, further defends the wrong and injury &c., and says, that the third and last breach or charge in the said writ of Scire facias, of abuse, and misfeasance of the corporate franchises, and the matters and things in said third and last breach and charge contained, in manner and form, as they are charged and set forth against the said Defendant, are not sufficient in law, to work or cause a forfeiture of the said charter or corporate franchises, and that the said Defendant, to the said third and last breach or charge of abuse &c., in said writ of Scire facias, in manner and form aforesaid made has no necessity, nor is bound by the law of the land, to answer. Wherefore the said defendant prays Judgment of the said write of Scire facias, that the said State of Maryland, may be barred from having any Judgment of forfeiture of the said charter and corporate franchises, &c.

Joseph M. Palmer, Attorney for Defendant,
William J. Ross, Attorney for Defendant
Madison Nelson, Attorney for Defendant.

Whereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their attornies aforesaid and by order of the Court here thereon is further continued until the fourth Monday of February next. At which said fourth Monday of February being the 24th day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty eight comes again into the County Court here the said State of Maryland by its attorney General aforesaid and the said Board of Commissioners for the Frederick Female Seminary by their attorney aforesaid. Whereupon the premises being seen by the Court here and now fully understood, for that it seems to the Court here, that the said writ of Scire facias in manner and form aforesaid and the matters and things therein set forth, are sufficient in law for the said State of Maryland to maintain its said writ of Scire facias against the said Board of Commissioners for the Frederick Female Seminary had. and it further seems to the Court here, that the said first breach and charge in said writ of Scire facias contained in manner and form aforesaid, and the matters and things therein set forth, are not sufficient in law, for the said State of Maryland to maintain its said writ of Scire facias against the said Board of Commissioners for the Frederick Female Seminary had. And it further seems to the Court here that the said second and third breaches and charges in said writ of Scire facias contained in manner and form aforesaid and the matters and things therein set forth are sufficient in law for the said State of Maryland to maintain its said writ of Scire facias against the said Board of Commissioners for the Frederick Female Seminary had, as the said State of Maryland,