To Charles Richardson. Balance of one half. $3.723.16¼ $4.817.20¾.
To Jacob Albert. claim against Robt. R
Richardson Junr. deceased . . 3.067. 50.
To the devisees of said Robt. R. Richardson Jr.
deceased for balance of ½ viz:
To Nathan H. Ware & Eliza E. Ware his wife
(for one half thereof . . 874.85¼
To Julia R. Barron. for ½ thereof . 874.85¼ $4.817.20¾
$9.634:41.

*1847
July 16 - By Balance - Amount of Sales then made as per Report
of Trustees filed . . . $12.500:00

Which being read and heard, the Court thereupon passed and filed in said cause the following order of ratification viz to wit: Ordered by the Court this 9th day of March 1848, that the aforegoing Account be finally ratified and confirmed, as reported by the Auditor and the proceeds of sale applied accordingly with interest as it has been or maybe received, unless cause to the contrary be shewn within ten days from this date. Jno. G. Le Grand.

And on the same Ninth day of March the following account and receipt from Jacob Albert were filed in said cause, to wit:

Mrs: Chas & Robert R. Richardson
To Jacob Albert . . Drs.
To Cash paid them for annuity of $150. per annum . . $3000.00
" 4½ Months Interest from March 11th 1847 to July 29. 1847 . 67.50
$3067.50.

Baltimore July 29th 1847. Received the above amount three thousand and Sixty seven 50/100. dollars from David Stewart Esquire. Trustee.
Jacob Albert for Wm. J. Albert.

And afterwards to wit: on the twenty first day of March in the year last aforesaid, the following petition to file exceptions and was filed in said cause, to wit: To the Honorable the Judges of Baltimore County Court in Equity sitting — The Petition of Nathaniel H. Ware and Julia R. Barron respectfully showeth. that the Auditor hath made a report and account in the case of Richardson against Ware and others which is entirely erroneous as to the principle on which it is based and concerning which it was agreed between the Solicitor of the Complainants and the Solicitor of the defendants that an argument should be had before this Honorable Court. Your Petitioners further show that they have not had time to file their exceptions to the said account and report and pray therefore, that your Honors may pass an order extending the time to show cause against the ratification of said account, and as in duty bound, and so forth: they will ever pray.
David Stewart. Jno. Stewart Solrs for defendts Petitioners.

Which being read and heard, the court thereupon, passed and filed in said cause the following order to wit: — In Baltimore County Court - in Equity.
January Term 1848.

Ordered this 21st March 1848 on the within Petition that the time for filing exceptions to the Account in said petition mentioned be and the same is hereby extended to, and to include the tenth day of April next. — J. Purviance.

And afterwards to wit: On the thirtieth day of March in the year last aforesaid the said Nathan H. Ware and Julia R. Barron by their said

solicitor filed in said cause the following exceptions to Auditor's Report and account to wit:

Charles Richardson
vs
Nathan H. Ware.
Eliza E. Ware
Julia R. Barron
Jacob Albert.

In Baltimore County Court. sitting as a Court of Equity.
To the Honorable the Judges of Baltimore County Court, sitting as a Court of Equity. The Defendants Nathan H. Ware and Julia R. Barron pray leave to except to the Auditor's Report and account in this cause. The Bill is filed by Complainant in his own right, as creditor, and as administrator de bonis non of the Estate of the late Robert R. Richardson and for other creditors of Eliza Richardson deceased, and among other things prays for the sale of certain property on Market Street in Baltimore and in the occupancy of Armstrong and Perry, and states that said property belonged to the said Eliza Richardson in fee simple, and therefore responsible for her debts. And in support of this view of this case the said Complainant filed as his Exhibit a deed of Trust from Arcanah Kennedy to Samuel A. Ridgely dated the twentieth day of March Eighteen hundred and two. The Defendants have filed their answer, agreeing to a sale of the property because of its advantage to all parties at this time, but they deny the liability of the said property for the payment of Eliza Richardson's debts averring that said Eliza Richardson, according to the Complainants Exhibit, only had a life Estate in the said property and that at her death the Estate passed to the Complainant and to the late Robert R. Richardson Junior in fee simple. (The said Ware and Julia R. Barron representing the interest of the said Robert R. Richardson Junr. deceased). The Auditor has filed an account in the cause based upon view, that the proceeds of sale of the property in the cause now sold, was liable to her creditors as belonging to the Estate of said Eliza Richardson deceased, but to this account the defendants, now beg leave to file the following exceptions.

1st For that the said account assumes that Eliza Richardson had an Estate in fee simple in the Market Street property mentioned in the Bill whereas according to the proper construction of the deed of trust referred to in complainants Bill and marked Complainants Exhibit. the said Eliza Richardson had only an equitable life Estate therein and upon her death the property aforesaid devolved in fee simple upon the complainant, Charles Richardson and his Brother Robert. ~

2nd For that the Auditor has allowed the claim of Charles Richardson the complainant for the amount of $1543:73 as a claim against the property in question on the Estate of Eliza Richardson, when in fact as stated in the first exception the said Eliza had only an equitable life Estate in said property and for further to the said claim in so far as it arises upon the first item in the account for the proceeds of said sale of two negro Women as therein stated, these exceptants deny that the said negro woman belonged to the Estate of the said Robert R. Richardson accordance to the evidence as filed and so denying, they further plead the statute of limitations in bar of said claim and pray that they may have the benefit of said Statute as if it were fully and formally herein pleaded, and for exception to the second item of said claim they deny according to their first exception that the property in question is responsible for the debts of Eliza Richardson and aver that this second item, according to the proof filed cannot be claimed as a debt due by Eliza Richardson.

3rd For that the Auditor has allowed the claim of John H. B. Latrobe whereas for the reasons stated in the preceding exceptions the said Claim is not chargeable upon the proceeds of sale in this cause. —