for sometime. Then he afterwards rented it to a Dutchman who kept a Grocery in it and remained there until Faringer died and sometime after three or four weeks, he rents the whole house now to a man by the name of Pierce.
To the third Interrogatory he saith. I am sure that the store was not kept for a year. I think hardly for six months. I supposed that it went in his name he seemed to be the owner of it. It was a very small store, very few of the neighbors dealt there. It was principally resorted to by negroes and such like. Faringer and Ramsay in keeping the store seemed to be one.
To the Fourth Interrogatory he saith. I took them to be man and wife for some time and did not know until some time after that that he had another wife. I had no particular acquaintance with Faringer never had any conversation with him and never was in his store in my life.
To the Fifth Interrogatory he saith I would not suppose that at any time he had ever Two Hundred Dollars worth if so much.
To the Sixth Interrogatory he saith I lived about two hundred feet from his house in the same square and on the same side of the street.

Cross examined by Defendants Counsel. To the first Cross Interrogatory he saith, I was not present. I never saw any of his deeds. To the second Cross Inty, he saith I was not. I speak from hear say. To the third Cross Interrogatory he saith. I was not but the Dutchman had possession and therefore I suppose that he rented it to him.
To the fourth Cross Interrogatory he saith nothing more than what I have seen and heard I had no positive means of knowing except what I have seen in passing by the store it being a small store and every body can see through it in passing by the door.
To the Fifth Cross Interrogatory he saith I know Nothing about it whether he bought it or she bought it.
Re examined by Complainants Counsel. To the first additional Interrogatory he saith, I was fifty last November, I am a woolen manufacturer by trade and have been engaged in the woolen manufactory of Conrad for the last eleven years next June. Note. The whole of this testimony is excepted to by Defendants Counsel.

The Commission then adjourned to meet again by consent. April 26th 1850. The Commission met at 3 o'clock.
John Warwick recalled by Complainants Counsel.
To the First Interrogatory he saith. I knew of their keeping a grocery and liquor store or shop in Potter Street, I would say that they appeared as man and wife. I have seen them both waiting on the shop at different times I cannot say exactly what year. They moved from that store to the house on the corner of Lombard and Canal Streets at which latter place they continued to keep a shop of the same kind until he took to drink so that they had to break the shop up.
To the Second Interrogatory he saith, I cannot say for I am no judge of in such matters. There might have been as much as three Hundred Dollars worth and there might not have been more than a hundred and fifty dollars worth.
To the Third Interrogatory he saith I have no knowledge except that I have seen him buy small things, Segars. Snuff. tobacco and such like and carry them home with him – Segars by the hundred and Snuff

by the pound. This I have seen him do frequently. He did not use Snuff himself. I do not suppose what I have seen him buy amounted to more than five dollars. John Hamilton having been duly sworn on the Holy Gospels of Almighty God.
To the first Interrogatory he saith, I was formerly in the Grocery business.
To the Second Interrogatory he saith. I knew a person of that name, I have sold him at different periods small amounts of goods. I do not recollect the articles now except one – flour by the Barrell. He generally called a dray and carried them off saying that they were going to his Store at the corner of Lombard and Canal Streets. He would mention to the drayman where his store –, I judged at the time and from the fact of his telling the drayman where they were to be left and from other facts transpiring at the time that they were for a Retail Store. He always paid cash for them.
Cross Examined by defendants Counsel. To the first cross Interrogatory he saith I do not.
To the second Cross Interrogatory he saith. I cannot say what amounts.
To the Third Cross Interrogatory he saith, I cannot remember.
To the Fourth Cross Interrogatory he saith. I lived about a half a mile off in a wholesale & retail Grocery Store kept by my Father and I was Clerk in the store.

I hereby certify and return to the Honorable Judges of Baltimore County Court that in pursuance of the annexed Commission I proceeded to execute the same having first taken the oath prescribed for me and having first administered the oath to Charles V. Brent, whom I appointed my clerk under this Commission, all of whom will more fully appear as well as the manner in which said Commission was executed by the annexed schedule herewith returned.

Robt. S. Brent. Com^r. (Seal)

R. S. Brent 9 days " " "
C. V. Brent 9 "
10 days charged against plaintiff – 2 " " Defen^t.

"R. S. B. No 1"

$212" Baltimore 7th April 1845.

On the first day of April one thousand eight hundred and forty six, I promise to pay to William E. Beale or order Two hundred and twelve dollars for value received.
No 1967 due April 1/4 1846. Eliza Ramsay
"Endorsed" William E. Beale. David M Brown. Jacob Albert.

"R. S. B. No 2"

Catharine Faringer &c } In Baltimore County Court, Equity.
vs. } It is agreed that the testimony to be taken
Eliza Ramsay. } in the cause of Catharine Faringer Pers^t. Trustee of Jacob Faringer against Eliza Ramsay and William Ehrman depending in this Court may be used in this cause as if it had been taken under a commission regularly issued in this cause.
And it is further agreed that the expenses of executing the Commission issued to Robert S. Brent in the said cause shall be equally divided between said cause and this cause.
30th October 1849. Wm. M Addison for Compl^t. Chas. A Pitts for Ramsay