of Nathan Haines on some two or three occasions - perhaps more. He has seen him write - The Signature attached to the paper shewn him ("Exhibit A") is in his hand writing.

2. To the Second Interrogatory that

Some four or five years previous to Nathan Haines death he (witness) had a conversation with him respecting the division of his property - Nathan Haines merely said that he had divided his property, but did not specify what property he had given to each child.

3.4.5. The third fourth and fifth Interrogatories waived by Counsel

6. To the Sixth Interrogatory that he knows the land referred to - It was a part of what might be termed the home place - He does not know how many acres were in it - Nicholas Hardy was in possession of this land, for some four or five years before Nathan Haines death - perhaps not so long - He (Nicholas Hardy) had erected thereon a Stone dwelling, a log Stable and had planted an orchard - He also put guano on the land. Witness supposes the buildings to have cost not much less if any than $2.000 - He can form no estimate of the value of the other improvements - The orchard was small. The farm was also laid off in fields all of which took time and labour.

7. Interrogatory waived by Counsel

Whereupon the Counsel for the Complainants exhibited his Crs Interrogatory to be put to said Witness, which is herewith returned, and the said Witness being examined thereon deposes and says

To the Crs Interrogatory to him propounded

That he does not know where the materials of which the house was built came from; nor does he know who who paid for them - He did not see them hauling the Stone, and cannot say where they hauled it from - Witness on two occasions saw Nicholas Hardy superintending the building -

John Holland a Witness of lawful age, produced on the part of the Defendants being duly sworn on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God and examined to Interrogatories filed with the Commissioner by the Defendants, and herewith returned deposes and says.

1.2.3.4.5. The first second, third fourth and fifth Interrogatories waived by Counsel

6. To the Sixth Interrogatory that

He knows the land referred to. Witness lived on the adjoining farm. He does not know how long Nicholas Hardy was in possession of this place before Nathan Haines death, perhaps four or five years. He (Nicholas Hardy) built a Stone dwelling on the place, also a log Stable and the usual out houses. He also planted a small orchard and fenced off the farm and put lime and guano on the land - Witness supposes the value of all the improvements put upon the place was about $2.000 - Nicholas Hardy bought the lime Stone for the house from Witness, and Witness thinks paid him for it himself.

Witness thinks that said Hardy purchased all the Stone used in the erection of the house from himself.

7. To the Seventh Interrogatory that

He knows the land referred to. Mordecai Haines moved there about 1840. and has continued to live there ever since. He (said Mordecai) put some lime on the place but not much - Witness does not think that he put any improvements on the buildings - He also planted a small orchard - Witness thinks these improvements cost about $100.

Mrs Sarah Cecil a witness of lawful age, produced on the part of the Defendants, being duly sworn on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God and examined to Interrogatories filed with the Commissioner by the Defendants and herewith returned deposes and says

1. The first Interrogatory waived by Counsel

2. To the Second Interrogatory - that Three or four years before Nathan Haines, death she had a conversation with him on the Subject - He told Witness that he had made a division of his property; That he had had the land run out and had given to each child the portion he intended for it, - He also said, at the same time, that he had given the Lime Kiln - place to his Son Mordecai, the adjoining place to his daughter Ellen, and Nicholas Hardy, and that he had given the home place to his daughter Mary, with every thing on it just as it stood; that she had been a good dutiful child to him and that it was his wish to leave her more than the rest. That the adjoining place he had left to the heirs of his Son Daniel - This is all Witness heard him say.

3.4.5. Third fourth and fifth Interrogatories waived by Counsel

6. To the Sixth Interrogatory that

She lives on the farm adjoining the one occupied by Nathan Haines in his life time. She knows the land referred to. She thinks that Nicholas Hardy had been in possession of it some five or six years before Nathan Haines death. Nicholas Hardy put some improvements on this place. He put up a Stone dwelling and the houses spoken of by the other Witnesses.

Witness was at the building several times while it was being erected, and was at the house where said Hardy boarded his hands - She is no Judge of the value of improvements - She has frequently heard Nathan Haines speak of the building as being put up by Nicholas Hardy.

7. To the Seventh Interrogatory

She knows the land referred to. She thinks Mordecai Haines occupied this place for about fourteen or fifteen years before his Fathers death - He put very little improvements on the buildings, perhaps he repaired the porch some. He also planted a small orchard and put some lime upon the land. She does not know the cost of these improvements.

Elizabeth Brown a Witness of Lawful age