of Subpoena commanding them except the said Sheriff on a certain day and under certain penalties to be and appear in this Court to abide by and perform such decree as may be passed in the premises and as in duty bound they will ever pray &c.

Cornelius McLean for Compt

State of Maryland Anne Arundel County, Towit:

Be it remembered and it is hereby certified that on this 28th Oct 1852 before the subscriber a Justice of the peace of the State of Maryland in and for the County aforesaid personally appeared Roger Tyings of A. A. County and made oath on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God that the matters stated in the aforegoing bill are true that he is the same Roger Tyslings mentioned in the said Bill and that he never did claim any interest or exercise any control over the property in the said Bill mentioned since the execution of the said deed in said Bill mentioned and now claims no interest therein.

Sworn & Before Thos G. Taylor

On which bill the Judge passed the following order

Let an injunction issue as prayed by the within bill

Oct 30th 1852 Nicholas Brewer Circuit Judge

And at the same day the Complainants filed with the clerk the following injunction bond duly approved by the Judge

Know all men by these presents that we Richard D. Sellman Benjamin S. Nicholson and John S. Sellman of Anne Arundel County of the State of Maryland are held and firmly bound unto Samuel B. Anderson Jacob Hall and Thomas Davidson in the full and just sum of Five Hundred dollars Current money of the United States to be paid to the said Jacob Hall, Samuel B. Anderson, and Thomas Davidson or either of them their Executors administrators or assigns. For which payment well and truly to be made and done we bind ourselves our heirs Executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents sealed with our seals and dated this thirtieth day of October in the year of our Thousand Eight Hundred and fifty two. Whereas Mary E. Tyings Emma Tyings and Sentha Tyings infants under the age of twenty one years by their next friend the said Richard D. Sellman have filed their Bill for an injunction in the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County against the said Samuel B. Anderson Jacob Hall and Thomas Davidson and the Sheriff of Anne Arundel County to restrain them from selling certain real Estate in the said Bill mentioned under certain Feri facias against one Roger Tyings and are about to obtain the said Writ of Injunction to stay further proceedings against the said land which is claimed by the said plaintiffs. Now the condition of the above obligation is such that if the said Mary E. Tyings Emma Tyings and Sentha Tyings or the said Richard D. Sellman or either of them shall prosecute the said Writ of Injunction with effect and satisfy all costs and demands that shall occur in the said Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County or be occasioned by the delay or the said execution occasioned by the said Writ of Injunction unless the said Court shall decree to the contrary and shall in all things obey such order and decree as may be passed by the said Court in the premises then the above obligation to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and effect

Witness Rachel C. Dorsey
Witness as to R. D. Sellman
Cornelius McLean

R. D. Sellman (Seal)
Benjamin S. Nicholson (Seal)
Jno S. Sellman (Seal)

Approved 30th Oct Nich Brewer Circuit Judge

And thereupon Writs of Subpoena and Injunction were accordingly issued against the said Defendants returnable to the next term of the said Court Viz. January Term 1853.

And the said writs of Subpoena and Injunction were returned thus endorsed to wit.

Summoned. A. J. Worthington Sheriff

Service acknowledged Nich D. Worthington Shff Oct 30th 1852.

Served on the Defendants Counsel this 1st Nov 1852 N. J. Worthington Shff

Service admitted 1st Nov 1852, H. M. Murray atty Anderson and Hall

Frank H. Stockett for Davidson

And afterwards towit on the 3d day of November came Roger Tyings and Susan Ellis two of the Defendants and filed in said Cause their answers to the said bill in the words and following towit

Mary E. Tyings & others vs Samuel B. Anderson & others } Circuit Court for A. A. County. The answer of Roger Tyings and Susan Ellis to the Bill of Complaint of Mary E. Tyings & others filed against them

These Defendants admit the truth of the facts stated in the said bill and answering that the relief prayed for shall be granted

Roger Tyings
Susan Ellis

I agree to receive the above answer without oath

Signed in my presence Cornelius McLean for Compt

Jno Nicholson Justice of the Peace A. A. Cty

Afterwards towit on the 3d day of November 1852 came Samuel B. Anderson and Jacob Hall by Frank H. Stockett and Henry M. Murray their Solicitors and filed in said Cause their answers to the said bill in the words following towit.

Samuel B. Anderson and Jacob Hall vs Mary E. Tyings & others } Circuit Court of Anne Arundel County In Equity. The joint and separate answer of Samuel B. Anderson and Jacob Hall to the Bill of Complaint of Mary E. Tyings and others against these Defendants and others in this Court Exhibited.

These Defendants now and at all times hereafter saving and reserving unto themselves all manner of benefit of exception to the many errors uncertainties and imperfections in the said Bill of Complaint contained nevertheless for answer unto so much and such parts thereof as they are advised is or are material or necessary for them to answer unto answering say that they admit the death of the said Mary A. Tyings the wife of the said Roger Tyings leaving the Complainants her only children and heirs at law who are infants as is stated in said Bill and they also admit that the same Thomas R. Pearce and his wife did convey unto the said Mary A. Tyings the said parcel of land about the time mentioned in said bill of complaint in fee simple absolute without any condition or reservation whatsoever as will appear by an authenticated copy of said deed marked Exhibit F filed herewith as part of this their answer and which they aver the said Complainants did not to have filed with their said Bill. These respondents further answering aver that the said parcel of land & premises had been purchased by the said Roger Tyings of the said Thomas R. Pearce "and"