passed on the 18th December 1852. the said Ing feels aggrieved, and is about to appeal to the next Court of Appeals.

Now the Condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bounden John H. Ing shall not cause a transcript of the Record and proceedings of the said Decree to be transmitted to the Court of Appeals within the time required by law, and prosecute the same with effect; and also satisfy and pay unto the said Ann McMechen her executors, administrators or Assigns in case the said Decree shall be affirmed, as well all and singular the debt and Costs decreed aforesaid to be paid to the said Ann McMechen, as also all Costs and damages that shall be awarded by the said Court of Appeals, then this Bond to be and remain in full force, otherwise of no effect.

Signed Sealed & delivered in the presence of
C. P. Merryman.

Jno. H. Ing (Seal)
Hugh Gelston (Seal)

On the back whereof are the following endorsements, towit:
"I believe the within Surety sufficient - Edwd Dowling clk.
Decr 31. 1852. Bond approved. Wm Frick. -

It is thereupon ordered by the Court here, that a transcript of the Record and proceedings in the Cause aforesaid with all things thereto relating be transmitted to the next Court of Appeals to be held for the State of Maryland and the same are hereby transmitted accordingly.

In Testimony that the foregoing is a full and true transcript, taken from the record of proceedings of the Superior Court of Baltimore City, in Equity - in the Cause therein mentioned.

Seal of Sup. Ct. of Balto.

I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of said Court this Fourteenth day of February, Anno Domini Eighteen hundred and Fifty three.

Edwd Dowling Clk.
Supt. Ct. of Balto. City.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said John H. Ing by John H. Ing Esquire his attorney, as the said Ann McMechen by Benjamin C. Bamall Esquire her attorney: and thereupon, on motion of the said Ann McMechen by her Attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said John H. Ing assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the Decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Decree by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against him in default thereof: and the said John H. Ing by his attorney aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, towit, that, by the record aforesaid, it appears that the Decree aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Ann McMechen against the said John H. Ing, when, by the law of the land, that Decree ought to have been given for the said John H. Ing against the said Ann McMechen, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the Decree aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said John H. Ing may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said Decree, and that the said Ann McMechen may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon, it is ruled



by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Ann McMechen join in the errors by the said John H. Ing above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or Decree by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against her in default thereof: And the said Ann McMechen by her Attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to her to join in the errors by the said John H. Ing above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next: and to her it is granted; the same day is also given to the said John H. Ing then and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty three which said day was given to the said Ann McMechen to join in the errors by the said John H. Ing above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid: and the said Ann McMechen by her attorney aforesaid, says, there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and she prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the records of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said John H. Ing above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Decree to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in June next, to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, thereof are not advised, and so forth.

At which said first Monday in June being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty four, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is, by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, and so forth.

And now at this day, towit, the said first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand, eight hundred and fifty four come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid. Thereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said John H. Ing above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid: Thereupon the Court of Appeals here passed their Decree in the words and of the tenor following, towit:

John H. Ing
vs
Ann McMechen

Court of Appeals of Maryland.
Dec. Term 1854.

The decree of the Superior Court of Baltimore City from