Langfitt to be and appear at my office in the town of Cambridge on Wednesday the 24th day of March inst at 10 o'clock A.M. to testify for Robinson against Robinson in a matter from Chancery. Hereof fail not and make return thereof on the said day and have you then and there this precept. Given under my hand and seal this 11th day of March 1852.

James Rea (Seal)

To George Wingate. Constable.

State of Maryland. Dorchester County ss: You are hereby commanded to summon William Drury. John W. Martin. William Robinson. William Brunsfield. James A. Thompson. Joseph Langfett and George W. Wingate to be and appear at my office in the town of Cambridge on Friday the 26th day of March inst at 10 o'clock A.M. to testify for Robinson against Robinson in a matter from chancery. Hereof fail not and make return thereof on the said day and have you then and there this precept. Given under my hand and seal this 24th day of March 1852.

James Rea (Seal) J.P.

To George W. Wingate Constable. To James E. Douglas.

State of Maryland. Dorchester County ss: You are hereby commanded to summon William Hewitt, and Samuel Twilley to be and appear at my office in the Town of Cambridge on Friday the 26th day of March instant. to testify for Robinson against Robinson in a matter in chancery. Hereof fail not and make return thereof on said day and have you then and there this precept. Given under my hand and seal this 26th day of March 1852.

To James E. Douglas Sheriff.

James Rea J.P. (Seal)

Robinson vs. Robinson

In Chancery. 28th February 1852.

Upon the within petition it is ordered that the time fixed by the order of the 20th of November last for hearing the matter of the petition of the 19th of the same month be further extended to and fixed for the 31st of March next. and the parties to said petition are authorized to take the depositions of Joseph H. Bell. James P. Russell. William S. Drury and William Brunsfield and other witnesses before any Justice of the Peace on three days notice to the opposite party or his solicitor to be read at the hearing provided they are taken and returned to the Chancery office on or before the day fixed therefor.

John Johnson. Chancellor.

True Copy. Test. John Chesney Reg: Cur: Can.

The 24th day of March Wednesday is fixed to take the testimony of the within witnesses and any others summoned by either party at my office in the town of Cambridge at 10 o'clock A.M.

March 11th 1852.

James Rea J.P.

And afterwards to wit, on the 1st day of April 1852 came the said Jacob Wilson by his Counsel Alexander Randall Esquire and filed in the said cause his petition in the words following, to wit:-

To the Honorable John Johnson. Chancellor. The Petition of Jacob Wilson of Dorchester County respectfully states that by the order of this Court passed on the 20th day of November last he is required to answer the petition of John D. Farquharson filed the day before in this court in a cause wherein Henry Robinson and others are complainants and W. D. Robinson and others defendants. and the parties are thereby authorized to take depositions before the hearing which by said order was fixed on the 20th of January last.— That from time to time the said hearing has been postponed by your Honor until this day when certain testimony has been introduced and your petitioner for the first time informed that he should file an answer



to the said petition before this day. That he hath hitherto been ignorant of the necessity he was under to file such answer before this day. and he now prays leave to file his answer to said petition this day and as in duty &c.

A Randall for Petitioner.

On this 31st day of March 1852 before me a justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland in and for Anne Arundel County personally appears Jacob Wilson and made oath that the statements in the within petition are true to the best of his knowledge and belief. Sworn before. James W. Hunter.

And thereupon the Chancellor passed the following order.

In Chancery 1st April 1852.

Ordered that the said petitioner have leave to file his answer as prayed.

John Johnson. Chancellor.

And at the same time came the said Jacob Wilson by his Counsel aforesaid and filed in the said cause his answer to the Petition of the said John D. Farquharson in the words following to wit:- To the Honorable John Johnson Chancellor. The answer of Jacob Wilson of Dorchester County to the petition of John D. Farquharson of said County respectfully states. That it is true as alleged. that this Petitioner had been appointed Trustee in place of said William Rea a defendant in the above cause to execute the trusts in said petition described and has given bond for the discharge of said duties. but your respondent is advised that by the sale of the said real Estate the said trust is at an end and the rights of the parties absolute in the Estates given to them by the will of the Testator.

This Respondent denies that the said negro Henry Robinson is either ignorant or incapable of managing his Estate and the proceedings in this cause at his instance attest that statement.

This Respondent is advised that the said petitioner has no such right as pretended by him to invest the said proceeds of sale to the use of the parties, if they themselves prefer to have held or dispose of the same absolutely.

He admits that he filed his petition as stated in the petition of the said Farquharson to which the said Henry Robinson filed his answer for the meaning of which this respondent respectfully refers to the same. But this respondent denies that the said negro Henry Robinson was made to admit any statement in the said answer, on the contrary thereof the said answer was read by this respondent and the Justice of the Peace before whom it was acknowledged by the said Henry Robinson and he made to understand and said he did so understand it. all before the same was sworn to by him. before the Justice of the Peace. You respondent denies that the consideration of the said sale was grossly inadequate. he denies that the deed conveying the interest of the said Henry to this Respondent was extorted from him by fraudulent practices and misrepresentations of this respondent or of any other person and this respondent, whatever may be the pretended convictions of the said Farquharson, is satisfied that the said negro Henry fully understood the object and effect of the said deed and did speak of the said deed to others clearly showing that he well knew what was to be the effect of the execution of the same. This Respondent denies that the answer of the said Henry is to be treated in any other way than as the proper answer of the said Henry and he denies that the same was procured by any fraudulent practices whatsoever.—