To Hugh McElderry assignee of Chas H. Pitts part of No 19	508	67	62	62
To Daniel R. Banks No 21 & 22 first & second Dividends	3188	84	702	76
			$2996	74

By amount of dividends stated and received on the account reported by the Auditor on the 12 December 1846 on the following claims, which claims are not rejected for want of proof, viz: claims No 2. 6. 7. 9. 10. 12 13. 14 and 20. 290 94

The Amount received by the Trustee since his first report and up to 31 December 1847 as per second report filed 18 January 1848 2705 80

$2996 74.

Ordered by the Court this 9th day of March 1848 that the aforegoing account be finally ratified and confirmed as reported by the Auditor and the proceeds of sale applied accordingly with interest as it has been or may be received unless cause to the contrary be shewn within ten days from this date.

Wm C. Legrand

True Copy Test

Edwd Darling Clk

I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly copied from the original filed and remaining in the Equity case of Patterson vs Talbott in the Superior Court of Baltimore City

Seal

Thereto I have subscribed my name and affix the seal of the said Court this 12th day of April 1854.

Edwd Darling Clk

Banks and Stewart vs Williams } In Equity. In the Circuit Court for the City of Baltimore. This certifies that the following persons proved claims against the undersigned Trustee under a Deed from Hannah K. Chase dated the 28th January 1845 – viz

		Claims	Dividends
No 1 Christian Schaefer	No 1	563.92	54.93
" 2 Chas Defordt & C.	" 3	558.12	54 38
" 3 William H. Harrison & C	" 4	285 12	27 77
" 4 Philip Reigart	" 5	318 65	31 03
" 5 Nathaniel Williams	" 6	430.99	41.98
" 6 Thos Carroll	" 7	90 78	8 84
" 7 Sarah Polk	" 8	120 05	11 69
" 8 Dr Arthur Pue	" 9	50 00	4 87
" 9 Dr A. Alexander	" 10	141.00	13 73
" 10 Nicholas King	" 11	83 18	8.09
" 11 Jno Stewart	" 12	402 69	39 23
" 12 Robt Kemsick	" 13	1181 22	115.07
" 13 George Slater	" 14	45 88	4 45
" 14 D. R. Banks (in part)		4350.72	423.90
" 15 Charles H. Pitts transfd			

Hugh McElderry		508.67	49.56
" 16 W Jeffers	No 20	72.58	7.09

And that dividends were paid on claims numbered in the margin 1-2-3-4-5-7-10-14 & 15 the other claims were rejected for want of proof and the dividends brought into the second account which has been remanded for new audit

March 24th 1854. Wm A. Talbott Trustee

The undersigned Counsel in this Case (Banks 100 vs Williams &c) consent to receive as Evidence the above Statement of Wm A. Talbott Trustee to the same extent as if the matters therein certified had been proven under the Commission issued to take testimony in this case. It is also admitted that the notes dated 30th July 1844 heretofore filed in this Case before the same was set down for hearing were not filed for dividends under Talbotts deed of Trust of trust and received no dividends under said deed.

H. G. W. Teackle Solr for Complts
Brent & May for Defendants

Baltimore County S.S.

Nathaniel Williams and Joseph B. Williams Executors of the last Will and Testament of Hannah K. Chase late of said County deceased were attached to answer unto Robert Kemsick of all and singular the goods and chattels rights and credits which was of Hannah K. Chase late of Baltimore County deceased in a plea &c. And thereupon the said Plaintiff by James Malcolm his Attorney complains. For that whereas the said Hannah K. Chase did in her life time heretofore to wit on the thirtieth day of July A.D. 1844 that is to say at the County aforesaid made her certain promissory note in writing bearing date the day and year aforesaid and thereby then and there promised to pay two years after the date thereof to William Chase Barney or order two thousand Dollars for value received, and the said William Chase Barney by his or her order the payment of the said sum of money in the said note specified was to be made after the making of the said note and before the payment of the said sum of money therein specified to wit, on the day and year aforesaid at the County aforesaid endorsed the said note to the said Plaintiff by which said Endorsement the said William Chase Barney then and there ordered and appointed the said sum of money in the said note specified to be paid to the said Plaintiff.

And the said William Chase Barney then and there Endorsed and delivered the said note so endorsed to the said Plaintiff. By means whereof and by force of the Statute in such Case made and provided the said Hannah K. Chase in her life time then and there became liable to pay to the said Plaintiff the sum of money in the said note specified according to the tenor and effect of the said note and being so liable the said Hannah K. Chase in her life time in consideration thereof afterwards