part of November or first of December last – since the separation has taken place.

To the 35th Cross Interrog. This says that from Andrews conduct on the night of the separation answerd think that he was very anxious to bring about a reconciliation with his wife. Andrew did that night manifest great anxiety. He told Lavinia that he would treat her better and try and do better than what he had been doing. She says that he had told her this so often and broken his promise, that she had lost confidence in him. He offered to board with her and he might have offered to take her travelling – she told him she had travelled with him once, and that he had ~~nothing~~ mortified her so much then that she could not travel with him any more.

To the 36th Cross Interrog. This deponent says that at the time he told Andrew what Lavinia told him of his, Andrews treatment in Philadelphia or Washington towards her. Andrew did not deny any of the allegations mentioned to him by this deponent as told deponent by Lavinia and that he did not deny the specific allegation in relation to the damned deceitful bement. Andrew did not positively admit it, but said he had treated her wrong, that he was ignorant and did not know how to treat a woman but that he would treat her better hereafter if she would be satisfied and contented.

To the 37th Cross Interrog. This deponent says that he has no recollection at all of Andrew making any statement what ever of the occurrence charged by Lavinia as having taken place either in Washington or Philadelphia and which was detailed by this deponent to Andrew and narrated in his testimony in chief.

To the 38th Cross Interrog. This deponent says that he does not know of his own knowledge, that father furnished Andrew with a considerable amount of money but has heard that he gave Andrew ten thousand dollars.

To the 39th Cross Interrog. This deponent says that the matters between Andrew and Lavinia have frequently been talked of in the family of Mrs Emment in the presence of Lavinia. Persons visiting the house would talk about it. Things have been told the family by persons which have sometimes engaged them. It is not the constant habit of Lavinia to revile and abuse Andrew, nor is she encouraged to do it.

To the 40th Cross Interrog. This deponent says that Andrew is not the only subject of conversation in the family since the separation. He is at times the subject of conversation and of comment.

To the 41st Cross Interrog. This deponent says that since Andrew has taken these proceedings he has not been spoken kindly of by the family. He might have been. He often told the family not to talk as badly as they did about Andrew – as it was disagreeable to this deponent.

To the 42d Cross Interrog. This deponent say that he has frequently talked to Lavinia about her property since the separation. She has often asked deponent about it. Sometimes Lavinia would get deponent to ask her lawyers about things and deponent would communicate to her the answers.

To the 43d Cross Interrog. This deponent says that he has not encouraged

Lavinia to prosecute this suit for the recovery of her property.

To the 44th Cross Interrog. This deponent says he has never dissuaded nor attempted to dissuade Lavinia from the prosecution of this suit.

To the 45th Cross Interrog. This deponent says that Lavinia has told him of witnesses and directed me to inform her Counsel of them, which deponent did.

Re examination of Samuel E. Schindel

To the 1st Interrog. This deponent says that what induced him to suspecion that Lavinia was going to separate from her husband as mentioned in his answer to the fifteenth Cross interrogatory, was, that when she went to leave on the night referred to in his said answer she commenced crying but said nothing.

To the 2d Interrog. This deponent says that on the day we took Lavinia with us to Frankstown – mentioned in this deponents answer to the 14th Cross Interrog. there was nothing said about the separation. This deponent did not know or suspect that day that Lavinia was going to separate from her husband. The only suspecion this deponent ever had was from what has been stated in deponents answer to the first of this series of interrogatories. At the request of deponent wife Lavinia was asked to go with us to Frankstown she assigning as a reason that as Lavinia did not get away much she thought Lavinia would like to ride or that a ride might be of service to her. This deponent had no ill feeling towards Lavinia.

To the 3d Interrog. This deponent says that on the night of the separation was the only time that deponent recollects that Andrew exhibited the feelings as described in this deponents answer to the 35th Cross Interrogatory. Andrew remained at Mr Emments house some 4 or 5 days after the separation when he asked Lavinia to go and board with him somewhere or go back to the house or go travelling. Lavinia replied that she had agreed to remain with him that if she went away she would be ill treated by him again. Andrew then asked Lavinia when he was to go, she replied he might go when he pleased. At this juncture deponents wife came into the room. Andrew said to her, well sis Willa, I have got my orders to leave. Willa replied you have, Andrew then asked Willa what he should do – Willa said she did not know – that she was very sorry. Andrew then went back to Lavinia and asked her whether she was going to compromise or going to law about her property, whether she would take our farm and he the other or how. Lavinia replied that she would have the whole or none. Andrew then got his hat to leave and addressing himself to Mr Emment and deponent wife said that he thought them particular friends of his and that they had done all they could to bring about a reconciliation. He then left the house. Deponent went out with him. When at the front door Andrew said to this deponent that he did not know what to do. Deponent told him that the best he could do would be to go out to fathers, but call at Mr Emments to see Lavinia treat her kindly say nothing about the property and it might all get right yet. During Andrews stay at Mr Emments he was treated kindly – as one of the family.

To the 4th Interrog. This deponent says that the proceedings of which the