Court of Appeals. Now the condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bound John S. Wright shall not cause a transcript of the Record and Proceedings of said Decree to be transmitted to the Court of Appeals within the time required by law and prosecute the same with effect and pay unto the Defendants in said cause in case the said Decree shall be affirmed as well all and singular the damages and costs decreed by the Circuit Court aforesaid to be paid by the said Wright as also all costs and damages that shall be awarded by the Court of Appeals then this bond to be of full force and virtue otherwise of no effect.

John S. Wright (Seal)
R. J. Brent (Seal)
James J. Lawson (Seal)

Witness
V. Brent

Which said Bond is thus Endorsed, to wit,

"The within Bond is good Wm. H. H. Turner Clk. Approved. Wm. Geo. Hicks"

It is thereupon ordered by the Court here that a transcript of the Record and Proceedings in the cause aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland — And the same is transmitted accordingly

Test Wm. H. H. Turner Clk

In Testimony that the aforegoing is a full and true transcript taken from the record of Proceedings of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City in the cause therein mentioned.

Seal of Circuit Court Balto City

I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of the said Court this twenty second day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty seven.

William H. H. Turner Clk
of the Circuit Court
for Baltimore City

And now came into the Court of Appeals here as well the said John S. Wright by R. J. Brent and Henry May Esq. his attorneys as the said Isaac Tyson Jr. David Kemp, J. Hanson Thomas and others, and thereupon on motion of the said Isaac Tyson Jr. David Kemp J. Hanson Thomas and others it is ruled by the Court here that the said John S. Wright assigns the Errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the Decree aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the Errors supposed to be therein or Decree by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof and the said John S. Wright by his attorneys aforesaid says that in the Record aforesaid and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid there is manifest Error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Isaac Tyson Jr and others against the said John S. Wright when by the law of the land that Decree ought to have been given for the said John S. Wright against the said Isaac Tyson Jr and others therefore it is that there is manifest error and he prays that the Decree aforesaid for that Error and others being in the

record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said John S. Wright may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said Decree and that the said Isaac Tyson Jr and others may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Isaac Tyson Jr and others join in the errors by the said John S. Wright above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or Decree by the Court of Appeals here will be given against them in default thereof. And the said Isaac Tyson Jr and others pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said John S. Wright above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the first Monday in June next, and to them it is granted, the same day is also given to the said John S. Wright then &c

At which said first Monday in June being the first day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty seven which said day was given to the said Isaac Tyson Jr and others to join in the errors by the said John S. Wright above assigned, came again into the Court of Appeals here the Parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid; and the said Isaac Tyson Jr and others say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said John S. Wright above for error assigned and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Decree to give of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in December next to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here thereof are not advised and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty seven came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid, thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in June being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty Eight came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Decree aforesaid given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said John S. Wright above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and