of their Co-defendant Julius Peter Pfeltz answering said Bill saving all Exceptions thereto say: That they submit their rights and interests in the premises to the Care of this Honorable Court.

Elizabeth Schem Mc Guardian

Baltimore County, to wit: The above named Guardian Elizabeth Schem Mc before me this 11th November 1857. maketh oath that all by her stated in the above answer for said Infants is true to the best of her Knowledge and Belief.

Lewis Mayer Commissioner

And thereupon the said Julius Peter Pfeltz by his Solicitor aforesaid filed in Court here in said Cause his Answer to the said Bill of Complaint in Same following to wit:

To the Honorable William Gwynn Knobs Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. The Answer of Julius Peter Pfeltz to the Bill of Complaint of Sarah Pfeltz and others against this Defendant and others in Said Honorable Court Exhibited. This defendant saving to himself the benefit of all Exceptions as all grandy to said Bill and of all defences thereto, answering it says: That he believes that a Deed was made to the late George C. Pfeltz by John J. Speed as Trustee under a Decree of the Court of Chancery as stated in the Bill of the Land in that respect mentioned: but this defendant maintains that Said deed is inequitable and should be declared inoperative not only so far as it pretends to convey a fee Simple Estate in the said Land but so far too, as it purports to convey or may be construed to convey this defendants interest in said Land or both for his life was reserved to him by Deed of Trust from his father (Charles William Pfeltz) to Job Smith Junior. And this Defendant answering further says that he and his children named in the Bill (and who he admits are in the Bill with the omission of his daughter Elizabeth once duly named and Enumerated) Entered into said Land and by right as he insists, did so Enter as well by reason of the forfeiture thereof by Commission of waste by George C. Pfeltz he being at most only a tenant for life (in virtue of said life interest of this defendant) of said Land and he denies as this defendant further insists, that said George C. Pfeltz had no Equitable or legal interest in said Land in derogation of the rights of this defendant, and of this defendants children owning in remainder in fee of the Land under the said Deed of Trust. And this defendant further answering, avers and he prays that he and his children aforesaid Co-Defendants with him in this suit may thereof have the benefit as if the fact were specifically pleaded to said Bill, or to the appropriate part of it that Complaining of the said Entry into said Land the said Sarah Pfeltz for herself and for her children her Co-Complainants in the said Bill Caused to be issued against this Defendant process of forcible entry, and detainer into and of said Land made in and by the entry now by the Bill Charged and that a Decree

upon the Requisition of two Justices of the Peace in and for Baltimore County being duly qualified before said Justices and the Sheriff of Baltimore County the said Jurors did in due Course of Law render their Verdict and Inquest in respect of said Entry and in favor of this defendant and so that said Judgment in the premises was had denying to said Sarah Restitution of the said Land or all which and as the same shall be more fully and clearly shown in testimony to this Honorable Court this Defendant for himself and for his Co-defendant children aforesaid relies in bar of all the pretences claims and prayer made and set up in the Bill. And this Defendant further answering says that he believes the said George C. Pfeltz died leaving the Will of which the Bill gives a recital. And this defendant denying all the pretentions and pretences of said Bill and the authority of this Court over or the allegations of the Bill to appoint a Receiver and grant the Injunction as it has ordered prays to be hence dismissed with his Costs, the Court dissolving and rescinding the order for a Receivership aforesaid and the Injunction aforesaid.

Julius Peter Pfeltz

Coleman Yellott } Solrs for
Chas F. Mayer } Defendants

Baltimore City, to wit: Julius Peter Pfeltz this 11th November 1857 appears before me a Justice of the Peace in and for said City and makes oath that all set forth in the within answer as of his own Knowledge is true and that all else stated he believes to be true.

John Mitchell

And thereupon the said Julius Peter Pfeltz by his Solicitor aforesaid filed in Court here his Endorsement thereon an admission of the service of a Copy of Report and Petition of the Receiver as follows to wit: "Service admitted of this Report &c 11 Nov 1857 Charles F. Mayer Sol for Julius Peter Pfeltz" And thereupon appears in Court here the said Job Smith in his proper person and filed in Court here in said Cause his Answer to the said Bill of Complaint in Same following to wit:

The Separate answer of Job Smith defendant to the Bill of Complaint Sarah Pfeltz and others Complainants filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. This Defendant answering says that he admits that a paper writing purporting to be a Deed of Trust of City property in the Bill mentioned from the said Charles William Pfeltz to this defendant and purporting to have been duly executed and acknowledged was sealed upon the Land Records of Baltimore County sometime in or about the month of April 1832 but this defendant says that he did not know of the existence of said Deed until sometime after the death of the said Charles William Pfeltz when for the first time he was informed of the fact of its existence: And he says that he never consented to said deed and never accepted the same and has never interfered with the property and now declines having any thing to do with