their attorneys; and thereupon, on motion of the said Wm. H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others by their attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said William C. Reddall assign the errors in the records of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the Decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or Decree by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof; and the said William C. Reddall by his attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid, there is no manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Wm. H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others against the said William C. Reddall whereby the law of the land that Decree ought to have been given for the said William C. Reddall against the said Wm. H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others therefor in that there is no manifest error and he prays that the Decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said William C. Reddall may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said Decree and that the said Wm. H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said William C. Reddall above assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid a Decree by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof: And the said Wm. H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others by their attorneys aforesaid pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said Wm. C. Reddall above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the first Monday in December next: and to them it is granted: the same day is also given to the said William C. Reddall then and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the sixth day of said month in the year of our Lord One thousand Eight hundred and fifty Eight which said day was given to the said Wm. H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others to join in the errors by the said Wm. C. Reddall above assigned, came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid; and the said Wm. H. Bryan, Alfred L. Rives and others by their attorneys aforesaid say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said William C. Reddall above for error assigned and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth.

But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Decree to give of and upon the premises day therefor is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in June next to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here of upon the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised and so forth. And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in June being the sixth day of the said month in the year of our Lord the thousand Eight hundred and fifty nine came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said William C. Reddall above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the Decree aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals that the said William H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others have execution against the said William C. Reddall as well for the sum of One hundred and thirteen dollars and twenty one cents adjudged unto the said Wm. H. Bryan Alfred L. Rives and others by the Court of Appeals here as their assent for their costs and charges which they sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said William C. Reddall for correcting of errors and upon the premises as aforesaid &c. Thereupon the Court of Appeals here passed their Decree which is in the words and of the tenor following, to wit:

William C. Reddall vs. Bryan, Rives and others } Court of Appeals of Maryland. No 18. June Term 1859.

This cause standing ready for hearing the proceeding was read and considered: It is thereupon this 29th day of July A.D. 1859. by the Court of Appeals of Maryland and by the authority thereof ordered, adjudged and decreed that the order appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and the cause remanded.

Jno. G. Legrand
J. J. Eccleston
Saml. L. Santee

And afterwards to wit, on the twenty eight day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty nine