the paternal succession had been settled long before my General Jones, and he could shew it. My belief was Mr Carter had gone into Chancery State and if such was the fact we ought to have shown it, and if you have any such proof we will not deliver the award until you have a full opportunity to exhibit such proof. He said he asked and I refused do so - I communicated the substance of this conversation to Mr Crane, and we agreed to and did in fact suspend the delivery of the award for more than a fortnight when not hearing from Mr Carter we proceeded to deliver the award.

To the 7th Interrogatory He answers. I cannot now state the time but it was some time after its date whether two days or a fortnight my impression is it was quite as long as this last date.

To the 8th Interrogatory He answers,

There was an Explanation made both by Mr C B Calvert and Mr Carter of the entry enquired of I am not acquainted with the handwriting of the Entry the Surveying Courtesies are erased by myself Jos H Bradley after this paper as stated in my answer to the 5th Interrogatory was presented to us this form was declared therefrom by the consent of both parties,

To the 9th Interrogatory he says.

I answer it was.

To the 10th Interrogatory he says.

The entry was erased by myself on Examining the accounts I discovered the error in the account Dr Stuart afterwards mentioned it to me,

I objected to the change I conferred with Mr Calvert stated to him the facts and he consented to its being erased,

To the 11th Interrogatory He says.

The parties had noticed that we were proceeding with the examination of the Matters in controversy and I am time to time I had conferences with each of them, shewing they knew that we were proceeding therein, they had abundant opportunity to lay before us all the facts and evidences on which they severally relied or any statements or proof which they desired us to hear I had no doubt that the Arbitrators had before them all the Material Necessary to a full and thorough examination of all the matters submitted to them and they only found it necessary to call upon the parties for the amount of payments which had been made we had in addition to the papers in this case of Morris vs Calvert the act of division between the Heirs of Mr Stew and such papers as shew Mr Carter's interest under his Father's settlement and the Will of Mr Carter, We took up the case De Novo without regard to the decisions of the Court in the case of Morris vs Calvert and this occasioned a great deal of labor and enabled us to correct any errors of fact into which the

Arbitrators in that case had fallen.

To the 12th Interrogatory He says.

It is my handwriting.

To the 13th Interrogatory He says.

I cannot speak from recollection of the fact but from the circumstances I believe it was C B Calvert.

To the 14th Interrogatory He says.

Under the circumstances, I state there would have been assigned to him $12.037 54 as of 12th of Jany 1838.

To the 1st Cross Interrogatory.

I do not recollect any instance in which either of them presented any specific claim such as is enquired of but after the interview I had with Mr Carter spoken of in my answer to the 6th direct Interrogatory Mr Crane handed to me the original Letter I now produce marked Complainants Ex D and that paper relates to part of the property treated by us of the paternal succession,

To the 2nd & Interrogatory He says.

To the 3rd & Interrogatory He says.

This paper was called for by the Arbitrators produced by Mr C B Calvert submitted to Mr Carter corrected by him and returned to us,

Jos. H. Bradley

I desire to correct an Error in my answer to Complainants 8th Interrogatory I now hand before me the original paper the copy of which is referred to in this Interrogatory and annex it hereto marked J. H. B

The figures and the words "deducted 160 acres at $5 and the figures $800 and "$39. 24. 92" at the bottom of the page are in my own handwriting and they recall to my mind the facts that they were inserted by consent of Mr C B Calvert and Mr C H Carter, and with the understanding between them and myself that the Credit should be entered as shown in the paper by the Arbitrators. And the right of Mr Carter to that deduction was thereafter to be settled by Mr Crane and myself without delay of the award.

Jos H Bradley.

Sworn to and subscribed by Jos H Bradley in my presence.

S. S. Williams.
Commissioner.

Answer of Richard S Crane to the Interrogatories on the part of Defendant Charles B Calvert.

I was one of the Arbitrators in the case mentioned in the interrogatory the submission was in Writing in the form of Bonds interchanged between the parties.