Charles H Carter vs Charles W Calvert Rosalie E Carter and others	In the High Court of Chancery of Maryland

At the Execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the High Court of Chancery of Maryland and to us directed empowering us to examine Witnesses in the above Entitled Cause now depending in said Court we Benjamin C Bressler and Frederick Pinkney the Commissioners in said Commission named, We being also the standing Commissioners of said Court for Baltimore County met on the 10 day of June in the year of our Lord 1850 at Noon at the office of Mason Campbell Esquire in the City of Baltimore and State of Maryland and at the request of the Solicitors of the respective parties assigned the same time and place for the examination of Witnesses under the same Commission, and proceeded in their presence to take the following depositions that is to say:

Dr. Richard H Stuart, a Witness of lawful age being produced on behalf of the Complainant being first duly sworn on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God, being examined upon the interrogatories to them propounded on behalf of the Complainant and Defendants annexed makes oath deposeth and saith as follows that is to say,

1 To the 1st of said Interrogatories that he has seen Letters at the said Complainants Exhibited S.S.S. No 1 and the same is in the handwriting of Witness himself and was sent by Witness to Mr Cross on the day of its date, which is the 8 day of December in the year 1847 in an Envelope by Mail and it was to make certain Explanations which said Cross had requested Witness to make, and it is the only Letter that Witness ever addressed to Mr Cross on the subject

2 To the Second of said Interrogatories that Neither the Complainant nor the Witness ever did so presume any claim to any part of the Estate which they knew to belong to the paternal succession of they died so they died ignorantly.

3 To the Third of said Interrogatories that Witness did not then know what claims belonged to the said paternal succession, Witness had subsequent conversations with the Complainants and believes not believe that the said Complainant, when that belonged to said succession neither the Witness nor the Complainant, so far as Witness knows had access to the papers which would shew, what belonged to said paternal succession, nor had they any other Materials to shew what belonged to it.

To the 4th of said Interrogatories, that Witness alluded to a certain accumulation of property, which Witness had understood from Thomas W Morris the son in Law of Mr Calvert, belonged to Mr Calvert's Children, under this Contract Witness at that time had never been that contract

5th To the 5th of said Interrogatories, that the said Stock was transferred to Witness as property in which Mr Calvert had a life Estate and which after his death reverted to his Children, Witness by the Word as in said Interrogatories alluded to Mr Carter and Witness himself.

6th To the 6th of said Interrogatories that Mr Calvert died first as Witness has always understood by tradition in the family.

7 To the Seventh of said interrogatories that Mr Stuart and Mr Carter did receive from their Maternal Grandfathers Estate in the year 1835 certain sums the annual Interest was ascertained by Walter Jones to whom the Matter was referred and was paid by George Calvert due as always called in the family the first claim against Mr Calvert he does not know about the other Interest except that their claims was of course recognized but when they received it, and what they received Witness does not know.

8th To the Eighth of said Interrogatories that the settlement between Mr Carter and her father of which Witness has spoken in his answer to the fourth Interrogatory in Chief in the other Commission to Washington, was made sometime in the year 1835 by General Walter Jones to whom the matter was referred at the same time that the settlement with Mrs Stuart was made,

9 To the 9th of said Interrogatories, that he has seen Letters at said Complainants Exhibited S.S.S. No 2 and it is in the bared Writing of George H Calvert entirely Body and Signature, Witness is familiar with the handwriting of said George H Calvert and has often seen him write,

10 To the 10th of said Interrogatories that he has seen Letters at the Complainants Exhibited S.S.S. No 3 Witness is well acquainted with the handwriting of said George Calvert and Rosalie E Carter and Charles H Carter and has often seen them Write, and the Signatures thereto are all genuine, and in the proper handwriting of the said George Calvert Rosalie E Carter and Charles H Carter respectively the Body of said paper is in the handwriting of Charles W Calvert with which Witness is acquainted and where he has seen Write

11 To the 11 of said Interrogatories that the Stuart the handwriting of said George H Calvert and the said Complainants Exhibited S.S.S. No 4 is entirely in the bared Writing of said George H Calvert,

The Commission was then adjourned to the 11 day of June in the year of our Lord 1850 at 9 o'Clock in the forenoon, and the same place, at which last mentioned time and place the Commission met pursuant to Notice, when the Complainants Solicitor filed with the Chief Examiner to answer, and marked respectively Complainants Exhibited No. 1, 2 and 3 and further being concluded being returned or produced to us, We then at the request of the said Solicitors of the respective parties, closed the said Commission and now return it closed under our hands and seals, on the day and year last aforesaid at the City of Baltimore and State of Maryland