A Slade and Francis H Ellis accts Franklin Slade and Ann-
her Accts William D Rogers and Mary his Accts Jesse Hannington
and Caroline his Accts Maria M Foster Clayton Barney and
Catharine Caldwell Nathaniel Williams Joseph B Williams
and William Moss Posted by Nathaniel Williams Robert J Brent
and Henry Mary Eq vers their Solicitors. Thereupon further
process of and upon the premises aforesaid before the parties aforesaid
by consent of the said parties by their Solicitors aforesaid and by order
of the Court here thereof is continued until the 2d Monday in January
next. at which said second Monday in January 1854 came again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their
Solicitors aforesaid. And afterwards to Wit on the 30 day of January
to Wit in the year last aforesaid on Motion of the Complainants by
their Solicitors aforesaid the Court passed the following rule for hearing
to Wit.

J Parker et al
vs
Williams et al } In the Circuit Court of Baltimore County

Upon application of the Solicitors for the Complainants
it is ordered this 30 day of January 1854 that this cause be set
over for hearing on the 6 day of February next provided a copy of
this order be served on the defendants Solicitors one before the 3d
day of February next.

Wm Geo Krebs.

And the Bail aforesaid Solicitors for the Complainants
admitted R J Brent Defendants Solicitors and afterwards to Wit
on the 8th day of February in the year last aforesaid the Complainants
by their Solicitor aforesaid filed in Court here in said cause the
following Letter to Wit.

Wm Malcolm Baltimore

Dear Sir.

Mr May cannot be here on
Thursday owing to the Gardner case, and I do not want him to
lose his fee. Yes is very certain that he will come Thursday week
and I take for granted you will agree to this extension & gladly
him, with the assurance that I will not be backward in coming
in after this indulgence.

Yours Respectfully,

R J Brent,

Feby 6th 1854.

Whereupon the Court passed and filed in said cause the following
Order enlarging the rule hearing to Wit,

Ordered this 8th day of February
1854 with the assent of the Complainants that the rule for hearing
of above cause be extended to 16 inst.

Wm Geo. Krebs,

Whereupon for that the Court is not advised to grant its judgment
of and upon the premises aforesaid day thereof is given to the said parties
to hear their exceptions further until the second Monday in March then
next &c. At which said 2d Monday of March to Wit in the year
aforesaid came again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their Solicitors
aforesaid And thereupon afterwards to Wit 16 day of March in the year
last aforesaid by leave of the Court here first had and obtained the
following copy of account and agreement and release and agreement
were filed and said cause to Wit

In the case of John Patterson vs William A Talbott } In Balto County Court in Equity

The Auditor Reports to the Court that he has again
examined the proceedings, claims and proofs filed in this cause, and
that in obedience to the Court's Order of the 24 January last he
has stated the within account rejecting all claims not proven and
distributing the funds among the said Creditors whose claims are
proven,

All which is Respectfully Submitted

J Pairkin Jacobs,

Baltimore 6 March 1848.

Second Account

The Trust Estate, Haynes Kelly Chase in acct with William A Talbott Square Trustee.

Dr

To the Trustee for an additional Commission
of 4 per ct on $117.38 as per order of the Court
passed 21 January 1848. $4.68

And 10 per ct Commission $2703.80 per
order 270.38

315.26

Less 10 per cent State Tax 31.52 $283.74

And for his Expenses as set forth in his
3d Report 1039.84

$1323.58

To the Clerk of this Court for
State Taxes viz
Tax per ct on Annuities comm $31.52
Ass per ct on ann of Annuities 27.06

58.58

To the Clerk for his additional fees. 10.65

To the Auditor for his fees on this ac 9.33

$1402.14