And they therefore object to the prayer of the said Petitioner being granted and pray that a Decree in the usual form may be passed for a sale of the property covered by said deed to satisfy the claims aforesaid. And they &c &c

S. Teackle Wallis
Thalcolm Campbell Solr.

And afterwards to wit on the said 19 day of April the said Complainants by their Solicitors aforesaid file in said cause the following Petition.

Banks and others
vs.
Williams and others

To the Honorable William George Krebs
Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City

The petition of Daniel B. Banks on behalf of himself and his Co-Complainants in this cause shews unto your Honor that this cause has been remanded by the Court of Appeals to be proceeded with in conformity with the opinion filed in said cause. That the deed and Will controversy in said cause has been determined by said Court of Appeals to be fraudulent and void in reference to certain of said claims and they are entitled to have said property thus fraudulently conveyed sold for the payment of the claims aforesaid.

Now therefore your Petitioner prays that a Decree may be passed for the sale of said property or so much thereof as may be necessary

And he will ever &c

S. Teackle Wallis
Thalcolm Campbell Solr.

And the said cause so standing was further ordered until the 2d Monday in May then next. At which said second Monday of May to wit in the year last aforesaid came again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their solicitors aforesaid. And afterwards to wit on the 19 day of May in the year last aforesaid the said Nathaniel Williams by his solicitors aforesaid filed in said cause the following Petition to wit.

Circuit Court for Baltimore City. Banks and others ag[ainst] Williams and others.
May Term 1858. To the Honorable William George Krebs Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. The Petition of Nathaniel

Respectfully prays your Honor to cause a Commission to issue in the above case on behalf of the defendants to take further testimony &c

Nathaniel Williams
Read and Tuey Solicitors for Petitioner

Which being read and heard the Court passed the following order to wit 29th May 1858. Ordered that Commission issue as prayed. Wm. G. Krebs.

Whereupon a Commission issued accordingly. And the said cause so standing was further ordered until the second Monday in July then next. At which said second Monday of July to wit in the year last aforesaid came again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their solicitors aforesaid. And the said cause so standing was further ordered until the second Monday in September then next. At which said second Monday of September to wit in the year last aforesaid came again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their solicitors aforesaid. And the said cause so standing was further ordered until the second Monday in November then next. At which said second Monday of November to wit in the year last aforesaid came again into Court here the parties aforesaid by their solicitors aforesaid And afterwards to wit on the 20 day of December in the year last aforesaid the said Complainants by their solicitors aforesaid filed in said cause the following Petition to wit

Banks and others
vs.
Williams and others

To the Honorable William G. Krebs
Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City

The Complainants show unto your Honor that they are desirous to have the Commission returned in this cause. And they therefore pray that the Defendants may be required to complete taking their testimony on or before a certain day to be named in an Order to be passed for that purpose. That said Commission was received upon the 19 day of May 1858.

Thalcolm. S. Teackle Wallis for Complts

Ordered this 20th day of December 1858 that the Defendants proceed to take their testimony on or before the second Monday of January 1859 and that said Commission be returned on the said second Monday of January