to the 4th Int. Deponent says, that George Steffler told him, that he advised his mother to make her property over, in order to relieve herself from difficulty. The reason was the Jacob H. Grove had a Judgment against her son John Steffler for some sixty odd dollars, which he expected to make out of John's interest in her property. And George told deponent also, that in consequence of these representations, he (George) held the property, and that it was his. George always told deponent that he did not want his mother to be bothered with litigation &c. but at the same time he wanted no advantage over the other heirs; and that after her death, if he survived her, he would make all right with the balance of the heirs. 5th Int. answer to the 5th Int. Deponent says, he knows nothing. 6th Int. answer to the 6th Int. Deponent says that one time in the year 1854, at the request of George Steffler to go with him as a witness and an officer, they went to see Van S. Brasheans, who was occupying one of the houses, and keeping a grog shop therein; and George then told Brasheans he should not keep a grog shop there, because it was his (Georges) property, and Brasheans did remove the grog shop out of the house.

That on other occasions before the year 1854, the year deponent had more conversations with said George than during any other year. George told deponent that the property was his mother's; but that she was not capable of taking care of it, and she gave it over to him to take care of for her, and that he was also able to take care of her during her lifetime. Deponent says he had very little conversation with said George after the year 1854. 7th Int. answer the 7th Int. Deponent says he knows nothing more. There being no other witnesses to be examined the commissioner adjourned said commission and on the 28th day of January 1860, resumed the examination; when Jacob H. Grove a witness produced on the part of the complainant being duly sworn and examined to special interrogatories filed with the commissioner & herewith returned, deposes. 1st And says, In answer to the 1st special Int. that he knows all the parties to this suit, except the children of Daniel Hill whose names he does not know; but knows them only as the children of Daniel Hill. 2. In answer to the 2nd Int. Deponent says, that he had a claim against John Steffler, and he was going to see Mrs. Steffler, and on his way he met George Steffler and told him he was going to see his (Georges) mother, to know whether or not he could get her to assume the claim which he (Grove) had against her son John. George said to Deponent, "Don't go I'll see her, and let you know all about it" but deponent says that George never did call to see him about it afterwards. This conversation deponent thinks was prior to the year 1849. There being no other witnesses to be examined the commissioner adjourned said commission over to the 2nd day of February, on which day John Hill, a witness produced on the part of the complainant being duly sworn and examined to general interrogatories filed with the commissioner and herewith returned, deposes & says. In answer to the first Interrogatory, Deponent says he knows the parties to this suit. 2nd In Answer to the 2nd Int. Deponent says

there were two houses and lots in Shrewsbury, which George Steffler used to say belonged to his mother; and called them yours; and that George used to complain of her permitting John Steffler to live in one of them without paying rent. Deponent cannot say exactly what time this was. 3rd In answer to the 3rd Int. Deponent says that he knew George Steffler, he died three or four years ago. Deponent supposes that said Sarah did whatever her son George told her to do; although she seemed to be perfectly right in her mind. She must have done so, or she never would have given him the deed to prevent Grove from making his claim. Deponent always thought that she would be willing to do anything that he (George) recommended. George always bought her wood, saw that she got flour, from the mill &c, and attended to her business generally. 4th In answer to the 4th Int. Deponent says that George Steffler told him, that Jacob H. Grove had a claim against John Steffler, which he wanted to make John's mother pay; and that he (George) got his mother to make the deed to him to keep Grove off. Deponent judged from the way George stated it to him, that he got the deed made to him for that purpose, that George told him of Grove's claim against John and of his intention to get the assignment of the property before it was made; George came to deponent to get him to go to his house to witness the signing of a paper; but does not know whether it was a deed or a will, or what it was. Deponent thinks that Jeremiah Kuhn went to the house with him and they found there either Roger E. Cook or Daniel Grim (Deponent thinks it was Cook) 5th In answer to the 5th Int. Deponent says that he was present at the execution of an instrument, (which as he gave in his answer to the 4th Interrogatory, he does not know whether it was deed or a will, or what it was) from Sarah Steffler to George Steffler; and this was the only paper executed by her that he (deponent) ever witnessed. Deponent says that one of the magistrates whichever of them drew up the instrument, would still ask the old lady questions; and George would answer them, and say "Yes! that's the way she wants it" and deponent thought at the time and when going away, said to Jeremiah Kuhn, that it was more George's instrument than the old ladys, for he seemed to answer all the questions. Deponent saw no money paid to the old lady by George on that occasion.

— does not recollect whether the old lady asked to have the paper read to her or not; but he thinks some part of it, the acknowledgement, was read to her; and she was asked some questions which George would answer, and say "Yes that's the way she wants it". The questions were put to her in the English language, and in her presence and hearing, and when George