I hereby certify that the aforegoing is a true Copy of the proceedings in the above cause.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Circuit Court of Baltimore County on this twenty first day of April A. D. 1862.

{Seal's Place}

George E. Earman Clerk of the Circuit Court for Baltimore County.

And thereupon on the day and year last aforesaid the said Christian Ehrman and Sophia Ehrman his wife by A. Machen and R. J. Gittings Esquires their Solicitors files their Petition in writing in said Superior Court here to dissolve the Injunction which motion is in the words and of the following Tenor to wit.

Edwin D. Withers Charlotte Withers Charlotte A. Withers Susan Withers Christian Ehrman & Sophia his wife vs Adam Demmead Michael P. C. Withers and Lucinda S. B. Withers his wife	In the Superior Court of Baltimore City In Equity Record removed from the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

Petition of Christian Ehrman and Sophia Ehrman his wife, of Louisville in the State of Kentucky.

To the Honorable Robert N. Martin Judge of the Superior Court of Baltimore City, your Petitioners, the said Christian Ehrman and Sophia Ehrman, respectfully show to your Honor that they reside in the City of Louisville and are the same who executed to the said Adam Demmead the Deed of Trust of the 20th of February 1861 recorded among the Land records of Baltimore County in Liber G. H. C. No 32 folio 270 &c and having been recently informed of the institution of this suit, and that they have been named as Plaintiffs therein along with Edwin D. Withers and others, although they have no desire to prosecute the same, but on the contrary wish that the said Demmead shall proceed to sell the said property as proposed by him without any unnecessary delay, and have so informed him by letter they pray that the said bill of complaint may be dismissed, and that your Honor may dissolve the injunction heretofore granted restraining the said Demmead from selling said trust property and executing his trust so that he may be allowed to proceed therein without hindrance and delay, and that your Petitioners may be allowed their costs in this behalf sustained, and as in duty bound &c.

Christian Ehrman Mark. Sophia Ehrman

And thereupon on the day and year last aforesaid, the Court passed the following order for hearing in the Tenor and of the following words to wit

Charlotte Withers & others vs Adam Demmead Michael P. C. Withers & al	In the Superior Court of Baltimore City In Equity

The Defendants Adam Demmead having filed his answer and entered on the docket a motion to dissolve the injunction issued in this cause

It is thereupon this eighth day of May 1862 ordered that the said motion stand for hearing on the sixteenth day of May 1862, Provided a copy of this order be served on the Complainants or their Solicitor, on or before the 9th day of May 1862.

And it is further ordered that the parties and either or any of them be and they are hereby authorized to take testimony before either Frederick Pentney Esq. or Francis D. Fooney Esq. commissioners appointed by this Court on giving two days notice thereof to the adverse party. And that the same be used at the hearing of the motion to dissolve the injunction, subject to all legal exceptions, Provided the said testimony be taken and filed in the Clerks office on or before the said 16th day of May 1862.

R. N. Martin

On the Back of said order was the following endorsement to wit, "Service of Copy of the Within order admitted 9th May 1862.

P. McLaughlin Sol for Complts.

And afterwards on the Twelfth day of May in the year last aforesaid Michael P. C. Withers and Lucinda Withers his wife by William B. Hill their Solicitor files in the Superior Court here their Answer in the Tenor and of the following words to wit

To the Hon Robert N. Martin Judge of the Superior Court of Baltimore City, sitting in Equity

The answer of Michael P. C. Withers and Lucinda his wife to the Bill of Complaint of Edwin D. Withers and others in this Court, exhibit it says.

That this Court ought not to entertain the Bill filed in this cause, because similar proceedings against the trustee and his official conduct in making sundry sales under the said Deed of Trust have been adjudicated in the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, and are now pending on appeal in the Court of Appeals of Maryland. These respondents further answering say that the Complainants set out in their Bill an erroneous statement of the extent and value of the real estate embraced in the Deeds of Trust