bid had been made.

6th Because he does not admit or deny that the prices obtained for those Cat sold in Oct 1861 were less than one half of what would have been obtained but for the present war.

7th Because the said Denmead does not admit or deny by his answer that he entertains no fear, any portion of the claims mentioned in said bill as having been assigned to him, as they are perfectly secure as the property on which they are a lien is worth a great deal more than said claims.

8 For that the said Denmead does not admit or deny that at the time the said Charlotte A. Withers was about being ejected by Mr. Crooke, that she applied to Mr. Crooke to remain in the house in which she had resided from Saturday until Tuesday following, or that Mr. Crook was about to grant her the time, 9 And for that the answer is in other particulars insufficient.

Patrick McLaughlin Sol. for Complainants

Ordered that the foregoing exceptions stand for hearing on next provided a copy of this order on the Defendants Adam Denmead or on one of his Solicitors before the — next

And afterwards on the seventh day of June in the year last aforesaid the following Additional Deposition on part of Defendant were filed in said cause in the Terms and of the following words to wit:

Charlotte Withers & others
vs
Adam Denmead & others

In the Superior Court of Baltimore City In Equity

Additional Depositions of Witnesses on the part of the Defendants in the above cause taken before the undersigned commissioner of the Superior Court of Baltimore City under and in pursuance of the order of Court heretofore passed and referred to in the previous depositions taken in the same behalf before me, and now on file in said Court at the request of the Solicitors of the Defendants and pursuant to due previous notice to the Solicitor of the Complainants and in the presence of the Solicitors of the respective parties at my office No 21 Lexington Street in the City of Baltimore State of Maryland. Wednesday 4th June 1862.

Francis A. Crook Jr. a Witness of lawful age produced sworn and examined on the part and behalf of the Defendants deposes and says; Question. Were you sent for by Mrs. Charlotte Withers or any of the Complainants in this case shortly before the Jury trials in the case of Crook Vs. Withers heretofore mentioned in these proceedings, and if so state what happened in the interview and what happened subsequently to it. Answer. On the morning of the 7th of March I think it was, the day before the trial, when I went down to the Barn one of the men working on the farm informed me that Mrs Withers the old lady wished

to see me right away. I went up to the house to see her she told me she wished me telegraph to my uncle Francis A. Crooke and tell him that she wished to see him that she wished to prevent the Jury from coming to morrow morning, that she was willing to give possession and go out peaceably that she did not wish to continue any longer. I went to Parkton to telegraph to my uncle as she desired me but the telegraph was only used for the Rail Road Company and I could not persuade them to telegraph. I then wrote a letter to my uncle and had the Post Master to send the letter down by the 12 O'Clock train thinking that he would receive it not and come up by the Evening train, the letter missed him some how or another but he came up that night. I told him about this interview with Mrs Withers and about writing to him and he went down to see her the next morning, and when he came near the house he met Edwin Withers Son and my uncle told him he wanted to see his mother and he said he could not see her that she had changed her mind since yesterday morning stating that she proposed to leave the premises as she had announced to me to be communicated to my uncle as above stated. Question State what occurred? Answer There was a good deal of conversation which I do not expect would amount to any thing. Edwin said that he intended to stay as long as he could, my uncle told him very well, the hardest to send off. I believe those were his words I dont know of anything more of importance. Question. Did your uncle succeed in seeing Mrs. Withers on that occasion? Answer All the reason I know is that Edwin told him he could not see her. Question What happened then, Answer The Jury soon assembled and his case was tried, Cross Examined For Complainants. Question Were you present at the trial (Objected to by Defendant) Answer. Yes sir.

Question Were you present at the swearing of the Jury: Answer. I dont remember taking particular notice of the Jury being sworn, the house was pretty crowded.

Question. If you were not present at the time of the swearing of the Jury where were you at that time. Answer. The house was pretty well crowded, I may have been at the door or outside the door or somewhere near. I dont remember where I was to tell the truth about it. Question. Were you a witness in that case on behalf of your uncle? Answer Yes sir. Question. Who was the first witness Examined Answer. I cant say. I think Mr. Sharfer was.

Charles W. Bentley a witness of lawful age produced sworn and examined on the part and behalf of Defendants deposes and says; My residence is in Baltimore City, but I spend much of my time with my family in