opened in the above cause to David H. Conrad, and Edmund Pendleton Esqrs of said County. 1. Do you know the parties to the above suit, or any of which of them and how long? And did you know Isaac Long, late of Washington County Maryland, deceased, and how long? 2. Do you know the land, part of "Chesseys Neck" lying in Washington County Maryland, and now & for many years past in possession of the complainant, William Grove? 3rd Have you had at any time and when, a conversation with said Isaac Long, in relation to a settlement of accounts, or the state of accounts between him & said Grove in reference to said land, or in relation to any other accounts between them? If yea, state said conversation & what the said Long said was the balance due by said Grove in said land or any. State all that was said. And if you know of any payments made by said Grove to said Long or of admissions by Long of payments, on account of said land, please state the same. 4. Do you know of any other matters or things that would be evidence for the complainant in this cause? If yea, state the same.

Nov. 17, 1855. Weisel & French Solrs. for Complt.

To John W. Holleda, to be examined for complainant, propound the 1st and 2nd of the preceding interrogatories, and also the following. 3 Did you at any time & when assign or transfer to the said Isaac Long any rents to which you were entitled to go to the credit of the complainant, William Grove, for the said land, or on account of payments made by said Long on said land for the said Grove? If yea, please state the amount, by whom payable, and the amount thereof actually paid to or received by said Long.

Nov. 17, 1855. Weisel & French Solrs. for Complt.

Defendants Cross Interrogatories to be exhibited to such of the aforegoing witnesses — Did you had any conversation with Isaac Long now deceased in relation to the accounts of him the said Isaac & William Grove, set forth as fully & particularly as you can, the time when said conversation was had, who was present at the time, when it occurred & whether said conversation related to any particular items of account, if so state what those items of account were, and if in this conversation he claimed any balance or any sum of money as due him, & on what account was it due & if he said anything in relation to the land, state whether he referred to rents, interest, or to items of running account.

2nd At whose instance did you assign or transfer to Isaac Long any rents state your relation to William Grove, whether he is or not largely indebted to you, & whether you have any interest in the matters in controversy

Alex Neill Jr. for defts.

Virginia Berkeley County, to wit: After execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the Circuit Court for Washington County in Maryland as a Court of Equity in which William Grove is complainant and Elizabeth Long and others defendants, and directed to D. H. Conrad & E. Pendleton — I, David Holmes Conrad, one of the said Commrs named did proceed on this 22nd day of November 1863 at my office in the town of Martinsburg Berkeley County Virginia (on this Thursday the 22nd day of Nov. aforesaid) after taking before George Hall Esq. the oath annexed to the Commission, to take the depositions of the following witnesses produced before me by Wm Grove — who having been first duly sworn, true answer to make to the Interrogatories to be propounded to them, deposed as follows to the several interrogatories that are hereto with the Commission, annexed, and are all together hereunto returned, that is to say;

James Carney (or Kearney) Being first duly sworn deposes and says in answer to the Interrogatory of Compt.

No 1. Answer. I have known them both little upwards of five years. To No 2, Yes sir. To No 3. I know of one payment that Mr Long said he Grove had made, he was writing the receipt one day — and he said to Mr. Grove, well Grove, you've done pretty well this summer. This is three hundred dollars you've paid me this summer, and that's what I heard to that effect, he did not mention anything about the land. To No 4. He answers & says that he knows nothing more. In answer to the 1st Interrogatory propounded by the defendants Cross his sworned and hereto annexed he answers and says: "It occurred in Mr. Grove's dining room. This last summer four years ago. Mrs Grove was present and a little boy Bennet Dixon. "The conversation took place while they were eating dinner, about the money I heard nothing about land. To defts. 2nd Interrogatory He says he has had no such transaction and he has no interest in this suit

Attest D. H. Conrad Comm. James his ✗ mark Carney

John W. Daily called in caption of the Interrogatories John Daily being next produced on the part of Wm Grove plaintiff and being by me duly sworn to speak the truth the whole truth & nothing but the truth, deposes & says to complainants 1st Interrogatory, Ans. I know the parties, I have known Mr Long for seven years past, he died some 18 months ago by breaking his neck, by some accident it was said. To 2nd Interrogatory Yes I know it very well — I did not know it was called by that name though. To 3rd In clearing a piece of timber which I bought of Mr Long in 1863 3. month of February I and Mr Long were in conversation about Mr Grove — I spoke of Mr. Grove as being an accommodating man & he agreed with me — & of his getting along in his business & I asked Long if he had not been a backer, that is security for Grove. Long said he had. But at this time he said