Maryland Harford County Sct

On this 9th day of July 1849 before the Subscriber a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland in and for Harford County personally came Henry D. Fernandes and made oath on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God that the foregoing Report is just and true to the best of his Knowledge and belief.

Jacob Pearce Wm Jordan

Whereupon the Court here passed the following Order of ratification Nisi to Wit

Order Nisi

Priscilla D. Bull & others vs. Michael E. Pue and Wife

Ordered this 9 day of July 1849 that the Sale made and reported by Henry D. Fernandes Trustee be and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the 20 day of August next provided a copy of this Order be published in some Newspaper printed in Harford County once in each of three successive Weeks before the said 20 day of August,

Amount of sales reported $695

Wm Fitch
Jno C. Grand

And this cause standing further continued until the 13 day of June in the year of our Lord 1853 when the said Trustee filed in the Circuit Court as a Court of Equity a printed advertisement of an authenticated Copy of the Order Nisi with the printers Certificate thereto annexed as follows to Wit,

Certificate of Publication.

I hereby certify that the annexed Order was published in the Harford Gazette once in each of three successive Weeks before the 20th of August 1849 as is by said Order directed

June 13th 1853. John Cox Editor

And afterwards to Wit, On the 30 day of June in the year last aforesaid on which day said cause was further continued the said defendants to Wit John S. Baldwin and Rachel his wife John A. Robb and Mary C. his wife Thersyman Street and Priscilla their wife and Sarah A. Bull by Henry W. Archer Esqr their Solicitor filed in Court here the following objections to sale and petition for Bill of Review which said Objections and petition are in the words and of the tenor following to Wit

Objections to sale and Petition for Bill of Review

Michael E. Pue and Wife vs. Priscilla D. Bull and others } Objections to sale and Petition for Bill of Review,

John S. Baldwin and Rachel his wife of Baltimore County John A. Robb and Mary his wife formerly Mary C. Bull of Baltimore City Thersyman Street and Priscilla his wife of Harford County and Sarah A. Bull Heir at Law of Elisha Bull deceased object to the ratification of the sale made and reported by the Trustee in the above entitled cause for the following reasons,

1st Because the Decree under which said sale was made was prematurely and improvidently passed.

2d Because some of the objectants to Wit the said John S. Baldwin and Wife and were never duly summoned to appear and answer Complainants Bill, nor did they ever appear and answer said Bill nor employ or authorize

any person to appear or answer the same for them, and they aver that the answer filed in their names was filed by Mr. Robb, and without their Knowledge or consent

3d Because it was not for interest or advantage of said objectants or any of them that their reversionary title to said Land should be sold during the lifetime of the tenant for life, and they aver and are ready to prove that said sale was for a price equally low and not exceeding one sixth or one seventh of the actual Value of said Land,

4th Because the purchaser to whom said sale appears to have been made relinquished and abandoned the same and refused to comply with the terms of sale by giving security assigning the purchase money or any part thereof, And the said objectants and also the said purchaser (as they are able to prove) understood and believed and rested in the belief that said sale had been cancelled, and that no sale would be made until after the death of the tenant for life,

5th Because since the death of the tenant for life which has recently occurred and by which the value of the title devised to be sold has been greatly enhanced the said purchaser has immediately procured the sale reported by the said Trustee in 1849 to be vacated and vacated at the same price Notwithstanding he long before abandoned and agreed to relinquish the same,

6th Because some of the said objectants had no sufficient Notice of the time and place of said sale and the proceedings under which said sale was made, and on other respects imperfect and erroneous.

The said objectants therefore respectfully pray your Honor to set said sale aside and declare the same to be void, and also pray that your Honor will grant them leave to file a Bill of Review that they may have the decree in said case reconsidered and revised as well for Errors apparent upon the proceedings as on the facts which have come to their Knowledge since the date of the decree and grant them such further relief in the premises as may be consistent with Equity and they shall ever pray &c

Henry W. Archer Sol. for Defendants

Harford County to Wit

On this 29 day of August 1853 before the Subscriber a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland in and for said County personally appeared John S. Baldwin and made affirmation that the facts stated in the above objections and Petition are correct and true to the best of his Knowledge and belief.

James Hervey Jr

This cause standing further continued until the 9th day of September in the year last aforesaid when the said Defendants by Henry W. Archer Esqr their Solicitor filed in the said Circuit Court here sitting in Equity, the following Petition for leave to file a Bill of Review which said petition is in the words and of the tenor following to Wit

Petition for Leave to file a Bill of Review.

Michael E. Pue and Wife vs. Priscilla D. Bull and others } To the Honorable Albert Constable as Chancellor of the Seventh Judicial Circuit of Maryland

The petition of John S. Baldwin and Rachel his wife John A. Robb and Mary C. his wife Thersyman Street and Priscilla D. his