the year four Lord 1854 when the said Complainant and the said defendants by their Solicitors aforesaid filed in Court here the following Submission for decree to Wit

John S Baldwin and wife and others
vs
Michael E Pue and wife

Bill of Review
In the Circuit Court for Harford County as a Court of Equity

New Term 1853.

It is agreed that the above entitled case be submitted for answer.

Henry W Archer
Solr for Complts

Wm Thos Slaugh Solr for defendant.

And thereupon the Court here afterwards to Wit on the 30 day of May in the year last aforesaid, to which day said cause was continued passed the following Decree in the premises to Wit

Decree John S Baldwin and wife and others
vs
Michael E Pue and wife

Bill of Review
In the Circuit Court for Harford County as a Court of Equity

This cause standing ready for hearing and being submitted the Bill and other proceedings were duly read and considered. It is thereupon this 30 day of May 1854, Ordered, Adjudged and decreed, That the Decree bearing date the 3 day of February 1849 which was made and passed in the Original cause pending in Harford County Court, as a Court of Equity in which Chester Pue and Wife were Complainants and the said Baldwin and others defendants to review which the Bill in this case was filed be and the same hereby set aside and reversed and declared to be null and void.

Albert Constable

And afterwards to Wit on the first day of June in the year last aforesaid Walter Billingslea, the purchaser of the Lands mentioned in these proceedings, by Allen Scott Esquire his Solicitor filed in Court here the following petition to Wit.

Petition of Walter Billingslea
John S Baldwin and wife and al
vs
Michael E Pue and wife

In the Circuit Court for Harford County as a Court of Equity

To the Honorable the Judge of the Circuit Court for Harford County as a Court of Equity.

The petition of Walter Billingslea of Harford County respectfully shews that he was the purchaser of the Lands mentioned in the proceedings in the above cause and in the original cause to review which the Bill in the above cause was filed.

That said Lands were sold to your petitioner by Henry D Farnandis trustee under the Decree in the said original cause in which Michael E Pue and wife were Complainants and Theodore D Bull and others were defendants Complainants passed by Harford County as a Court of Equity on the 3 day of February 1849 that the sale to your petitioner was made on the 7 day of May 1849 and an order of confirmation nisi was passed on the 9th day of July 1849 and due Notice thereof was given by publication, and although the time therein prescribed for filing objections was limited to the 20th day of August 1849 No objections were filed until the 30th

day of June 1853. That your Petitioner has paid the purchase Money and deems himself entitled to said Lands.

Your petitioner shews that as far he is informed the objections to said sale have not been decided on, and that he is ready and since the filing of the same always been ready to contest their validity, but your petitioner is informed that on the 30 day of May 1854 a Decree was passed by your Honor in the above case to which he was not a party, setting aside the decree in the original cause under which your petitioner was purchaser, and by which the interests of your petitioner as purchaser are set aside and disregarded. Although as your petitioner shews he has not had Notice of such proceedings and has not been heard or permitted to address his pray of,

Wherefore he prays Your Honor to open the Decree so passed in the above named cause and to Modify and repeal the same so as not to affect your petitioners legal as a purchaser under a Decree in the original cause, and for further relief, and your petitioner will ever pray &c

Allen Scott Solr for Petitioner

On this 1st day of June 1854 before the Subscriber a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland in and for Harford County, came Walter Billingslea and made oath on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God that the foregoing petition is just and true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Sworn before Wm Jordan

And on the same day to Wit, the first day of June in the year last aforesaid the Trustee to Wit, Henry D Farnandis Esquire filed in Court here his answer to the objections of sale, which said Answer is in the words and of the tenor following to Wit,

Answer of Trustee to Objections of Sale
Pue and Wife
vs
Bull and others.

In Harford County as a Court of Equity

The answer of Henry D Farnandis trustee to the objections to the sale made and reported in the above cause, the said Trustee for answer says that after as far as his knowledge extends the proceedings in said cause were proper, regular and legal - that all the parties were duly returned summoned by the Sheriff. That the answer of Streety and wife, parties defendant is signed by themselves, that the answers of the Infant Defendants were taken by Guardian regularly appointed. John E Bull only was married to the Mother of the said Infant, and was the Heir of Elisha Bull under whom the parties claimed and the Mother of Mrs Baldwin.

That the answer of Baldwin and Wife appears to be signed by their Respondent as their Solicitor. That this Respondent believes that he has satisfactory authority to sign the same as attorney such he would not have volunteered to do so - that he saw said Baldwin after the sale and certainly to the time of the filing of said objections, Never heard any objections to his so doing. That the Trustee sold said Lands at public sale after advertisement in accordance with the Decree Lately, and for the best price he could obtain and at the time deemed it satisfactory, that he never heard the parties complained of during the life of the tenant for life. That the purchaser did not comply at the