April Term 1865

giving Notice to the said Hamilton King and Susan A. King his wife who are non residents
as before stated of the substance and effect of the Original and the amended Bill and
warning them to appear in this Court in manner by Solicitor on or before a certain
day to answer the premises and show cause if any they have why a Decree ought
not to pass as prayed. And as in duty bound &c. &c. Everything &c.

J. P. M. Cullough Clerk

Whereupon issued Subpoena as prayed for on said Amended Bill of Complaint in form
following, viz:

Cecil County Sct. The State of Maryland to Thomas Burnside Emily
Whitelock Samuel R. Whitelock and James L. Sellers of the City of Baltimore greeting

You are hereby commanded that all excuses set aside you personally
appear before the Circuit Court for Cecil County as a Court of Chancery to be held at
the Court House in the town of Elkton in and for Cecil County aforesaid on the
second Monday of October next to answer unto the Bill of Complaint of James Hooper
John Hooper and James Hooper Jr. and the amended Bill of Complaint of James
Hooper Jr. against you in the said Court exhibited

Hereof fail not as you will answer the contrary at your peril

Witness the Honorable John H. Price Judge of the said Court the 14 day of July
anno Domini 1857.

Issued the 14 day of September 1857.

H. H. Mitchell Clerk

And afterwards to wit on the 8 day of said month of September in the year last
aforesaid an order of publication was granted and issued out of the Circuit Court
here in the words and figures following to wit.

James Hooper and others vs. William Logan Jr. & others | In the Circuit Court for Cecil County
In Chancery
September 8th 1857.

The object of this suit is to procure a decree for the sale of certain Real Estate
in Cecil County known by the name of Whitelock's Discovery in the possession of
William Logan Jr. one of the defendants for the payment of the purchase money
which remains unpaid and due to Complainants on a certain contract of
agreement filed as an Exhibit in this cause. The Bill states that Thomas
Burnside one of the Defendants in the year 1839 purchased from Samuel R.

April Term 1865

Whitelock an interest of his Seventhes of said Lands, and after paying a portion of the
purchase money to said Whitelock was indebted for the residue on his several promissory
Notes payable to said Whitelock in the sum of fifty dollars and that said Whitelock in
consideration thereof delivered to said Burnside an Agreement by which he bound
himself to make title to said Burnside for said interest on the payments of said Notes.
That said Burnside was all seized of a Moiety of said Land by virtue of a Deed of
Conveyance from one Robert Archer and wife and being so seized and possessed
of said Lands the said Burnside in the year 1842 by his Agreement in writing with
said William Logan Jr. agreed to sell his interest to said Logan for certain fifty
barrels which said Logan agreed to deliver to said Burnside and on the delivery
of two hundred of said barrels as in said agreement stipulated said Burnside gave
peaceable possession to said Logan of said Lands, as will appear by Exhibits
filed in this cause. The Bill further states that afterwards in the year 1843 the
said Burnside conveyed to Complainants his interest in the said Land and also
assigned to them all his interest under and by virtue of his agreement with said Logan
That Logan has failed and refused to deliver the residue of the barrels to Burnside
or the Complainants or any Money in satisfaction thereof. That the remaining
instalments with interest thereon are due to Complainants. That the said Samuel
R. Whitelock has departed this life intestate leaving his children Susan A. Whitelock
Emily Whitelock and Samuel R. Whitelock of the City of Baltimore his Heirs at
Law that said Samuel R. and Emily are infants and one James L. Sellers
of said City administrator of his personal Estate, and that the said Thomas
Burnside is still indebted to the Estate of Samuel R. Whitelock deceased for the
sum and amount of said promissory Notes and interest and for amounts paid in this
cause also states that since the commencement of this suit the said Susan A.
Whitelock has intermarried with Hamilton King who is a necessary party
to the suit and that said King and his wife are now residents of the State. It is
therefore adjudged and ordered that the Complainants by causing a copy of
this order to be inserted in some Newspaper published in Cecil County once a
week for one Month before the thirteenth day of October next give Notice to
said absent defendants of the object and pendency of this Bill and warn
them to appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor on or before the 14th day
of January 1858 to answer the premises and show cause if any they have why
a decree ought not to pass as prayed.

H. H. Mitchell Clerk

And this cause thus standing was continued until the next term of this Circuit Court
at which next term to wit October Term in the year 1857, comes into the Circuit
Court here the Complainants aforesaid by his Solicitor aforesaid, and the Writ of
subpoena directed to Thomas Burnside and others as aforesaid, of the City of
Baltimore, was by the Sheriff of the City of Baltimore returned to the Circuit
Court here endorsed "Summoned Amos, Same J. Gaskins Shff.

And the Writ of subpoena aforesaid directed to William Logan Jr.
of Cecil County as aforesaid was returned to the Circuit Court here by the
Sheriff of Cecil County Endorsed Summoned John D. R. Foote Sheriff
And this cause thus standing was continued until the next Term of the
Court at which next Term to wit February Term in the year 1858 comes
into the Circuit Court here the said Complainants by his Solicitor aforesaid, and