April Term 1865

as to so much thereof as he is advised it is material for him to answer says he admits that Solomon G Albers in his life time purchased from the Defendant George Brown the property in said Bill mentioned and that said property has been held for as therein stated He admits the death of said Albers, the Execution of his Will and the death of his Widow as stated in the Bill He admits that Complainant Matilda E Rhodes and this defendant are the only Heirs at Law of said Solomon G and as such are entitled to said property in equal proportions He admits that he petitioned for the benefit of the Insolvent Laws as stated in the Bill that Philip Reigart was appointed his permanent Trustee and that said Reigart has ever since acting as Trustee and that said order of Sequestration still continues as this in the Bill Defendant cannot speak of his own Knowledge of the other matters and things stated in the Bill He admits that the said property is not susceptible of division and that it would be for the advantage of all parties interested that it should be sold, He therefore consents that a Decree for sale should pass as prays reserving his right to the portion of the proceeds of sale which he is entitled to.

Brown & Brune for Henry N Albers

This answer is accepted without oath

The answer of Philip Reigart to the Bill of Complaint of Henry Rhodes and Matilda E Rhodes his wife. This Defendant for answer to said Bill or to so much thereof as he is advised it is material for him to answer says he admits that Henry N Albers petitioned for the benefit of the Insolvent Laws as stated in the Bill that this defendant was appointed his permanent Trustee and that subsequently an order was passed by Baltimore County Court suspending and prohibiting this defendant from acting as Trustee and that this order of suspension still stands unreversed. This defendant cannot speak of his own Knowledge of the other matters and things stated in the Bill and prays to be hence dismissed with his costs.

Brown & Brune for Ph Reigart

This answer is accepted without oath

Ex 20 June 1851.

The answer of Richard Rice to the Bill of Complaint of Henry Rhodes and Matilda E Rhodes his wife. This Defendant for answer to said Bill or to so much thereof as he is advised it is material for him to answer says he admits that Henry Rhodes made an assignment of all his property of every description to this defendant in trust for the payment of the debts of said Rhodes as is stated in said Bill of Complaint, and that subsequently said Rhodes applied for the benefit of the insolvent Laws of Maryland. Defendant has no Knowledge of the other matters and things stated in Complainants Bill. defendant is advised that as there were children born of the Marriage of Complainants still Henry Rhodes the Complainant is entitled by the courtesy entitled to an Estate for life in the Rents of his wife in said property and that such interest of the Complainant passed by virtue of said deed of trust to this defendant for the benefit of the said Complainant Henry. Defendant consents that a Decree for the sale of the property should pass as prays and reserves his right to come into Court and claim such share of the proceeds of sale as he may be entitled to under said deed of trust.

Brown & Brune for Richard Rice

Ex 20 June 1851. This answer is accepted without oath.

Charles H Pitts Solr for Complt

April Term 1865

The answer of C Wilson Hall to the Bill of Complaint of Henry Rhodes and Matilda E Rhodes his wife. This Defendant for answer to said Bill or to so much thereof as he is advised it is material for him to answer says he admits that said Henry Rhodes made an assignment of all his property to Richard Rice for the payment of debts as stated in said Bill that subsequently said Rhodes applied to the benefit of the Insolvent Laws and that this defendant was duly appointed his Trustee as stated in said Bill. Defendant cannot speak of his own Knowledge of the other matters and things stated in the Bill and prays that he may be hence dismissed with his costs.

Brown & Brune for C Wilson Hall

This answer is accepted without oath

Ex 20 June 1851.

Henry Rhodes and Matilda Rhodes vs George Brown Isabella Brown and others

In Equity.

Mr Bradford Issue a Commission to Frederick Pinkney Esq to take testimony with Abm Cohen.

C H Pitts for Compts. Brown & Brune for defts

Ex 20 June 1851.

The State of Maryland To Frederick Pinkney Esquire Commissioner named on the part of the Complainant and the Defendants Thus yelled you are appointed Commissioner to examine Witnesses in a cause depending in Baltimore County Court on the Equity side thereof wherein Henry Rhodes and Matilda E Rhodes his wife Complainants and George Brown and Isabella Brown his wife Henry N Albers Philip Reigart Richard Rice and C Wilson Hall Defendants. Whereof you are requested after having taken the oath hereunto annexed and also administered the annexed oath to the person whom you shall appoint as Clerk to attend the Execution of this Commission that at such time and place as to you shall seem convenient having first given notice to the respective parties or their Solicitors you cause to come before you all such Witnesses as shall be named or produced to you either on Complainant or defendant and then you examine them upon their respective oaths to be by you administered with the Holy Evangels of Almighty God touching their Knowledge or remembrance of anything that may relate to the cause aforesaid and having reduced the depositions of the Witnesses so taken by you into Writing you are to return with this Commission and under your hand and seal to the Prayer of the said County Court with all convenient speed. Witness the Honorable William Fiske Chief Judge of our said Court, the second day of June in the year 1851.

Issued the 20th day of June 1851.

Abm Bradford Clk

Commissioners oath

You shall according to the best of your Skill and Knowledge truly faithfully and impartially to any or either of the parties take the examinations and depositions of all and every Witness and Witnesses produced and Examined upon the Commission hereunto annexed, upon the interrogatories now or which may hereafter before the said Commission is closed be produced to and left with you by either of the parties so help you God, This above affirmed administered by me a Justice of the peace of the State of Maryland at the time of my so doing and filed on the 14 day of June in the year of our Lord 1851 at the City of Baltimore in the State of Maryland to Frederick Pinkney the Commissioner