And now Came into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson by Reverdy Johnson Frank H Stockton Esquires their Attorneys as the said Edward H Jetson by Charles Lutherum and Wm Sobley Esquire his Attorneys and thereupon on motion of said Edward H Jetson by his Attorneys aforesaid it is ordered by the Court here that the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson assign the errors in the Record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the Order aforesaid so as aforesaid Complained before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein of a Decree by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof and the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson by their Attorneys aforesaid say that in the Record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Order aforesaid there is manifest error in this to Wit that by the Record aforesaid it appears that the order aforesaid in the Cause aforesaid given was given for the said Edward H Jetson against the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson which by the Law of the Land that order ought to have been given to the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson against the said Edward H Jetson therefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the Order aforesaid for that error and others being in the Record of proceedings may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void, and that they the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson may be restored to all things which they lost by occasion of the said Order and that the said Edward H Jetson may reply to the errors aforesaid, and so forth, And thereupon it is ordered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Edward H Jetson join in the errors by the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson above assigned in the Record of proceedings aforesaid, or order by the Court of Appeals here will be rescinded against him in default thereof, and the said Edward H Jetson by his Attorneys aforesaid says there is no error in the Record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Order aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the Examination as well of the Record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson above for error assigned, and that the said Order may in all things be affirmed and so forth, thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such cases made and provided, continued before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in December next the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said Month, in the year of our Lord 1862 Came again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid. But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what Decree to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the Consent of the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid to come before the Court of Appeals here on the first Monday in June next to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises for that the Court now here, are not &c.

At which said first Monday in June being the first day of the said Month in the year of our Lord 1863 Came again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid. But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what Decree to give of and upon premises, this cause was regularly continued from Term to Term until the first Monday in April 1865.

And now at this day to Wit. the said first Monday in April being

the third day of the said Month in the year of our Lord 1865 Come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid Whereupon as well the Record of proceedings aforesaid and the order given in Term aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson above for error assigned being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is error in the Record of proceedings and also in the giving of the Order aforesaid,

Whereupon the Court of Appeals here passed our Decree which is in the Words and Figures following to Wit.

Joseph H Patterson and Edward Patterson vs Edward Jetson	Appeal from the Land Office, April Term 1865.

This appeal standing ready for hearing has been argued by Counsel, and the Proceedings have been read and considered. Whereupon it is this 12th day of July 1865 by the Court of Appeals of Maryland and by the authority thereof, adjudged and ordered that so much of the order of the Commissioner of the Land Office from which this appeal was taken as overrules the Caveat to the parcel of Land described in the Proceedings as Containing Ten Acres and Nine and Six perches, be and the same is hereby reversed and the Caveat to said parcel is hereby sustained. And it is further ordered and adjudged that so much of the said Order of the said Commissioner as overrules the Caveat to the parcel described in the proceedings as Containing one Rood Six perches, be and the same is hereby affirmed, and the cause is hereby remanded so that a Patent may be issued to the Appellees for the parcel last named.

And it is further Ordered that each party shall pay their own Costs on this Appeal,

Richard J Bowie.
Jas T Earle.
J Morris Cochran.
G Weisel.

Test. George Carter, Clerk.