Conveyed to said Elizabeth Jackson by the deeds aforesaid mentioned to her by said George Russell and James Atchison and the Writ of Subpoena against said George Jackson Elizabeth Jackson Hymes Jackson John Foley James Atchison George Russell and George H. Dutton Sheriff of Baltimore City Commanding them to be and appear in this Court on some day to be therein named to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree as may be passed therein And as in duty bound &c.

W. M. Loughlin Solr for Compl.

State of Maryland } Baltimore City to Wit

I hereby certify that on this Twenty of September 1860 before the subscriber a Justice of the Peace of said State in and for said City personally appeared John Steelman and James Hodges two of the above named Complts and made oath that the matters stated in the foregoing bill are true to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Wm. H. Maynard J.P.

The Exhibits marked A. referred to in the foregoing Bill of Complaint is as follows to Wit

Complts Exhibit A.

Statement } Baltimore Sept. 25th 1860.

Mr. George Jackson } of H. Hodges & Brothers Dr.

No. 23 Howard Street.

J. S. Hodges	To unpaid note due	26/29	Sept 55	421.79
W. R. Hodges	" " " "	26/29	Nov "	421.79
Robt. Hodges	" " " "	21/22	"	506.65
		12/16	" "	336.84
		12/16	Jany 56	336.84
		12/17	"	163.64
				2187.55
	Cr.			
By Note due	1/4 May 1856	546.89		
	1/4 Nov 1856	546.89		1093.78
				1093.77

Which being read and heard the Court here passed the following Order to Wit On the foregoing Bill Exhibit and affidavit It is Ordered this 29 day of September 1860 that on the 6th day of October 1860 the Court will proceed to grant an injunction as prayed unless or without answer unless cause to the contrary be shown on that day provided a copy of this Order be served on said defendants James Jackson Elizabeth Jackson John Foley George Jackson and George H. Dutton Sheriff of Baltimore City over before the 3d day of Oct. 1860.

Wm. G. Weeks.

Whereupon Subpoena issued as prayed in form following to Wit.

State of Maryland } to George Jackson Elizabeth Jackson his wife James Jackson John Foley James Atchison George Russell and Geo. H. Dutton Sheriff of Baltimore City Greeting.

We command and enjoin you that all excuses set aside you be in your person before the Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City at the Court House in said City on the second Monday of November next to answer the Complaint of James Hodges and others against you in said Court exhibited.

Hereof fail not as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

Witness the Honorable William George Weeks Judge of our said Court the 10th day of September 1860.

Issued the 28th day of September Anno Domini 1860.

Geo. W. Sherwood Clk.

And the Sheriff of Baltimore City aforesaid to whom the said Subpoena was delivered to be served made return thereof to the Court Here also endorsed to wit. Summoned within Geo. H. Dutton Shff. And afterwards to wit on the 9th day of October in the year aforesaid appeared in Court here the said George Jackson and Elizabeth his wife by Rufus P. Dulaney Esquire their Solicitor and filed in the said cause their Answer to the said Bill of Complaint in form following to wit

The answer of George Jackson and Elizabeth his wife to the Bill of Complaint filed in this Honorable Court against them and James Jackson John Foley James Atchison George Russell and George H. Dutton by James Hodges William Hodges and Robert Hodges partners under the firm of Hodges & Brothers John Steelman Christopher Hennicks Henry Faber partners under the firm of Steelman Hennicks & Co. This Respondents reserving to themselves the benefit of exceptions to the many false and scandalous and erroneous allegations contained nevertheless for answer thereunto answering say. That they admit that the said George was indebted to Hodges Brothers & Steelman Hennicks & Co and to various other persons and in the amounts stated in said Bill but these Respondents positively deny as therein alleged that the total amount of said debts was in August 1855 14 or 15 thousand dollars only. but on the contrary thereof avers that said George Jackson and to his creditors in all about Eighteen or Twenty thousand dollars. That these Respondents admit that the said George Jackson did give the six promissory Notes to Hodges Brothers as set forth in said Bill for his indebtedness to them and is referred to in Complainants Exhibit A.

That these Respondents admit that the said George Jackson was indebted to the said Lewis & Dorsey, and that the debt amounted in August 1855 to the sum of Eighteen or Twenty thousand dollars but whether said firm assigned at any time the debt so due to them to Hodges Brothers these Respondents have no knowledge and call upon the Complainants for proof thereof. These Respondents admitting the indebtedness of the said George Jackson, as aforesaid, but denying the allegations in said Bill that it amounted only to Fourteen or Fifteen thousand dollars further positively deny that statement in said bill contained, that said George Jackson had a large amount of personal property and leasehold Estate sufficient to pay off all his debts and afterwards to have a large surplus for himself, on the contrary thereof, these Respondents aver that said statement is absolutely false and without foundation and so also is the further allegation in said bill that being thus possessed of means far beyond his indebtedness he the said George Jackson conceived the idea of defrauding his creditors by concealing his prosperous circumstances and by feigning to them an inability to discharge his liabilities thus secure from them a compromise of their demands for fifty, or any other per cent, upon the whole amount due, that this imputation of a fraudulent purpose and object in the mind of said George Jackson by the said Complainants, to cheat and defraud his creditors by the means aforesaid is as much without foundation, and more manifestly untrue than the aforesaid other statement of his their prosperous circumstances, These Respondents aver that in the Spring of 1855 the said George Jackson had become embarrassed in his circumstances that owing to the long and extreme illness of his their wife who departed this life at that period, his attention to his business was necessarily intermitted by which as well as other causes his business suffered much and became deranged, so that he was unable to meet his engagements, when his creditors soon became aware of his situation; that one of them the said Alley of Lewis of the firm of Lewis & Dorsey persuaded the said George Jackson not to go to his lawyer to be advised as to what he should do for their benefit, insisted all be first in trust and no body should get anything saying at the same time, that, speaking for the creditors as well as for himself, will do better by you.