April Term 1866.

out of several distinct contracts or causes of complaint,
3d Because said Bill unites as defendants thereto several parties with several distinct interests upon separate contracts and several causes or matters of complaint in which there is no joint or common interest arising out of the same transactions between them.
4th Because the allegations of said Bill are vague and uncertain.
G L Duvany Solr for Jackson & Wife

And afterwards to Wit on the 25 day of April in the year last aforesaid the said Complainants by their Solicitor aforesaid filed in said cause the following Petition to Wit.

Hodges et al vs George Jackson	In the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. To the Honorable Wm Gr Krebs Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

The petition of the Complainants in the above cause respectfully shews that the proceeds of the property decreed to be sold and the money decreed to be collected are insufficient to satisfy the debts which are proved in the above cause and decreed to be paid from the proceeds of said sales and collections aforesaid. That the said Eliza is in receipt of said Notes and is allowing the taxes and ground rents to accumulate on said property. Your petitioners therefore pray that the trustee named in said decree may be authorized to collect the rents which have or may accrue on said property and that a receiver may be appointed for that purpose. And as in duty bound &c
J M McLaughlin Solr for Complainant

And afterwards to Wit on the 22 day of April in the year last aforesaid the Court here passed the following Order to Wit.

James Hodges et al vs George Jackson et al	Ordered by the Court this 22 day of April 1862 upon motion of the Complainants Solicitor that the above cause that the petition in reference to the collection of rents be set down for hearing on the 1st day of May next. Provided a copy of this Order be served on the opposite party or its Solicitor on or before the 23d day of April inst. Wm Gr Krebs.

And afterwards to Wit on the 29 day of April in the year last aforesaid the Trustee named in the foregoing decree in compliance therewith filed in said cause the following Bond to Wit.

Know all men by these presents that we John M McLaughlin and Patrick M McLaughlin of Baltimore City in the State of Maryland are held and firmly bound unto the State of Maryland in the just and full sum of sixteen thousand dollars current money to be paid to the said State of Maryland or its certain attorney to which payment well and truly to be made and done we bind ourselves and each of us our and each of our heirs executors and administrators jointly and severally by these presents sealed with our seals and dated this 29th day of April 1862. Whereas by a decree of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City bearing date the 28th day of November 1861 and passed in a cause in said Court wherein James Hodges and others are complainants and George Jackson and others are defendants the above bound John M McLaughlin has been appointed trustee to make sale of certain of certain real estate and for other purposes in the proceedings in said cause mentioned. Now the condition

of the above obligation is such that if the above bounden John M McLaughlin do and shall well and faithfully perform the trust reposed in him by any future order or decree in the premises then this above obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue in law.
Signed sealed and delivered in presence of
John M McLaughlin (Seal)
P M McLaughlin (Seal)
Which said Bond is thus endorsed to Wit Approved the within Bond sufficient.
J Thomas Adams Clk
Bond approved Wm Gr Krebs.

And afterwards to Wit on the 27 day of May in the year last aforesaid the Court here passed the following Order to Wit.

Hodges et al vs Jackson	Ordered upon Motion of the Complainants Solicitor that the matter of the Motion to appoint a Receiver in the above cause be set down for hearing peremptorily on the 23d day of June 1862 Provided a Copy of this Order be served on the opposite party or its Solicitor, on or before the 27th inst. Wm Gr Krebs.

A Copy whereof is thus endorsed to Wit " 27th day of May 1862. Served accordingly
G L Duvany Solr.

And afterwards to Wit on the 25th day of July in the year last aforesaid the following Petition was filed in said cause to Wit.

James Hodges et al vs George Jackson et al	In the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. To the Honorable Wm Gr Krebs Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. The petition of Truman Dorsey Joshua Inloes Francis H Inloes and James Bloodwood Partners trading under the name of Joshua S Inloes and Co Hunter C Dunham and Robert H Hearsell Partners trading under the name of Dunham & Hearsell Andrew Aldridge and Francis H Aldridge surviving partners of Andrew Aldridge Junr partners trading under the name of Aldridge Hagelin & Co Hemmick & Nevill Hepplemyer & Co A & J Maylin Merrifield and Hendricomb Jacob Hemfenner & Co Marfless & Bro Graydon Shannock & Co and Johns & Pagne respectfully shew that on the first day of August in the year 1855 the said George Jackson was indebted to your petitioners as follows viz.

To Ingemar Dorsey in the sum of $931.06
Joshua Inloes 1856.34
Dunham & Hearsell 1197.50
Aldridge Hagelin & Co in the sum of 1169.48
Hepplemyer & Co 777.96
Hemmick & Nevill 1346.64
A & J Maylin 368.02
Merrifield & Hendricomb 368.02
Jacob Hemfenner & Co 1503.90
Marfless & Bros 1542.68
Graydon Shannock & Co 475.02

In Evidence of which indebtedness your petitioners beg to refer to agreement filed with the Commission marked M L 1861 which they pray may be taken as a part of this their Bill. Your petitioners therefore pray that the said Bill be amended so that they may severally be made parties Complainants thereon And as in duty bound &c.