effect and such costs pay all costs and damages that may be occasioned by the suing thereof, and shall in all things stay abide by, perform and fulfil such decree and orders as shall be made in the premises then the above obligation to be void otherwise of full force and virtue.

Signed sealed and delivered — Jacob Rose (Seal)

in presence of Henry H Blair. — Warfield S Browning (Seal)

State of Maryland Baltimore City, Sct

I hereby certify that on this 23 day of November 1863 personally appeared before me the Subscriber a Justice of the peace of said State in and for said City Robert D Morrison and made oath in the Holy Evangelist of Almighty God, that he knows the pecuniary circumstances of the within named Sureties and that they are worth the amount of the penalty set forth in the within Bond, over and above their just debts and liabilities

Allen E Forrester

The cert internal revenue stamp 1864 — A E F Nov 23

Which appeal Bond is thus endorsed " I hereby approve the within Bond to be sufficient and approve the same,

Thomas Adams Clk

Whereupon Subpoena issued as prayed in form following to Wit,

Seal of Courts Place. The State of Maryland To Robert M Pock of Baltimore City Greeting We command and enjoin you that all excuses set aside, you then your person before the Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, at the Court House in said City, on the second Monday of January next, to answer the Complaint of Jacob Rose against you in said Court exhibited Hereof fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your perils, Witness the Honorable William George Krebs Judge of our said Court, the 9th day of Nov 1863,

Issued the 2 day of Nov Anno Domini 1863

Thomas Adams Clk

Which Subpoena is thus endorsed "Summoned"

Edwd R Sparks Sheriff.

And thereupon also Injunction issued as prayed in form following to Wit.

Seal of the Courts Place. Maryland Sct, The State of Maryland To Robert M Pock Greeting, Whereas Jacob Rose and Charles Ernhardt have exhibited to us, in our Circuit Court for Baltimore City their Bill of Complaint for relief in Equity, and for an Injunction to restrain you the said Robert M Pock from attempting to collect any of the rents payable from the said Jacob Rose and Charles Ernhardt lot of ground, situated on Columbia Street near Cross Street or from the Houses and improvements thereon, or otherwise interfering with the said property and premises in any manner whatever, until the matter can be heard and determined in Equity, Now therefore, these are to command and strictly to enjoin and prohibit you the said Robert M Pock your Agents servants or employees from attempting to collect any of the rents payable from the aforesaid Lot of ground or from the Houses and improvements thereon, or from interfering in any manner whatever with the property and premises until the further order of our said Court in the premises, Witness the Honorable William George Krebs



Judge of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, the 9th day of November 1863.

Issued the 23d day of November 1863

Thomas Adams, Clerk.

Which Injunction is thus endorsed " Injunction served on Robert M Pock on the 23d day of November 1863 at 25 Minutes after 6 O'clock P M in presence of James C Amass. — Edward R Sparks Sheriff.

And afterwards, to Wit on the 27th day of January in the year of our Lord 1864 the following Answer was filed to Wit, The answer of Robert M Pock to the Bill of Complaint of Jacob Rose and Charles Ernhardt in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City exhibited, This defendant saving and reserving to himself all and every exception to the manifold errors and insufficiencies of the said bill of Complaint, for answer thereto or to so much thereof as he is advised it is material or necessary for him to make answer thereto, he answering says, that he admits that he became the purchaser of the lot of ground with the improvements mentioned in the said bill of Complaint but he says that the said property is but one lot of fourteen feet front by 127 feet deep, that to divide such a lot into two parcels would be very injurious to both parties. He respondent further denies that any irregularities or informalities occurred in making sale of the said lot, on the contrary he avers that all the proceedings of the City authorities were regular and according to law, that the full notice was published as required by law, giving four warning to all parties claiming any right or interest therein, that the taxes for the year 1860 and 1861 were due and unpaid and that unless they were paid by a particular time named in the advertisement the property would be sold, The taxes remained unpaid and the property was sold accordingly that at the time of the said advertisement and warning a certain Adam List was the owner of the property which was assessed in his name and he was in possession of the same, that the said List saw the said advertisement, and was anxious to raise the money and pay the taxes to prevent the sale, that he applied to Rose the Complainant to assist him in getting the money, but Rose after taking time to consider declined to help him and the property was sold on the day named in the advertisement and was purchased by this Respondent, that proceeding were instituted on a Mortgage by which the said property was incumbered and in the following manner, and about seven Months after the sale as aforesaid, to this respondent the said property was sold under the said Mortgage by George C Emmanuel the trustee and was purchased at the latter sale by the said Jacob Rose, who at the time he purchased knew full well, that the property had been sold for taxes and was purchased by this Respondent, He avers that as soon as a year and a day run out this respondent would obtain his deed for the same, and he says that unless he paid the taxes within the year and a day he might redeem the property provided he good and yet he never did pay the taxes or redeem the property, This respondent is advised and respectfully insists that the various matters insisted upon in the said bill are all matters in the title which could be tried as well in a Court of law and inasmuch as the alleged cloud upon their title, is one which if it exist at all was brought upon it by themselves, they have no Equities entitling them to the interference of this Hon Court, And for this reason also your Honor will dismiss the said bill with costs

This Respondent is advised that he did no wrong in