I Darkens shop a good deal She was not the person who Chiefly attended to the Shop John Mrs Brendel had the Children She used often to the Shop but she generally attended to the Children, I have seen her sometimes at work. being out I never saw her do any washing I never saw Mr Miller do any work about the premises Well I think that the Board that Miller got at Mr Brandes at that time was worth about two dollars per week, during the time that I saw Mrs Miller there She was pretty generally doing something for Mrs Brendel The examination of this Witness here Closed.

Dietrich Neuner a Competent Witness produced on behalf of the Complainants being first sworn upon the Holy Evangels of Almighty God deposes and says, I knew the Complainants and the defendants Brendels I saw them the Brendels about 17 years, I knew Brendel before he was married and about a Month after his Marriage I lived with him about two years as a barber and at that time he was Keeping house in French Street. I knew John Henry Miller and knew that he lived with Brendels all the time I did He occupied the first room up stairs in Brendels house on French St, after I quit working for Brendel I often went to his house and knew that Miller Continued to live with him I saw him there He went to his work every day when I lived with Brendels when he was well enough. This examination closed in Chief here Closed Being Cross Examined by the Solicitor for the defendants the Witness deposes and says I saw in no way related to Mr Brendel Miller was living at Brendels the whole time I mention there and he afterwards lived with Brendels until he died which I think was about eight years ago. I dared live with Brendel now no not do I work for him I have a family of my own and I never saw Miller do any work for him I have a family of my own I never saw Miller do any work for Brendel The examination of this Witness here Closed. At the request of the Solicitors of the respective parties I adjourned to meet on Wednesday the 19th day of October at 4 O'clock P M and pursuant to adjournment this Wednesday October 19th A D 1864 at 4 O'clock P M. John George Brendel a Competent Witness produced on behalf of the Complainants being sworn by me duly sworn upon the Holy Evangels of Almighty God deposeth and says. I knew the parties to this suit both Complainants and defendants except Frank and Wife I was acquainted with John Henry Miller He lived with me and lived with me from 1847 to 1857 from about the 19th of March 1847 to the 12th of March 1857 He did not stay to me and send for board during that time, I think that three dollars a week for board washing and erning is not too much. examination in Chief here closed. Being cross examined by the Solicitor for the defendant the Witness deposes and says- Miller died the 12th of March A D 1857 when I married Millers daughter it was the desire of Miller to live with his daughter He did not go to live with me at the invitation of my wife My wife was a widow and had been living with her father Miller and when I married her and bought a Mans Clothing out. It was the desire of my Wife and her father to live together I got married on the 15th of March 1847 and on the 19th of March we were all living together There was nothing said about the amount of board Miller was to pay when he came to live with me I never demanded any Board of Miller during his lifetime

If the sisters in the family and even Miller had treated me fairly I never would have demanded any Miller himself did not treat me badly especially I have nothing further to say. I first determined to Charge this bill for Board after the death of Millers Wife who died on the 16th of October 1863 I did not tell Miller when he first went to live with me that I would Charge him Board, I never talked to Miller about it nor he to me I thought when Miller died that he would make it all right About a year after Millers death I found out that there was a Will I did not make out the bill for board before the death of Mrs Miller because I did not know what Millers will was and I thought Mrs Miller would make it all right I never demanded the Board of Mrs Miller Once in a while Mrs Miller took the Children and when she took a Notion she attended in the Shop, She never did any scrubbing about the house. Miller never did assist me about my business I had a Stable on Millers Lot I wanted to build a Stable on my Lot but he said that I had better build on his Lot I never paid any rent to Miller for the Stable whenever I got a single loan from Mr or Mrs Miller. I used always to pay it off again weekly I am not now indebted to either Mr or Mrs Miller in the sum of two hundred dollars borrowed money If Miller the Father had done every thing right I would have never demanded any thing for his Board. Question by the Auditor what was the Cost of building the Stable on the Lot of Millers and who found for the building of it, Answer It cost about one hundred and thirty two dollars and I found for the building of it The examination of this Witness here Closed. The Solicitor for the Complainants here announced that he has Closed the testimony on behalf of the Complainants Thereupon at the request of the Solicitor for the defendant I adjourned to meet on Wednesday the 26th day of October at 4 O'clock P M Met pursuant to adjournment on this Wednesday November 2d at 4 O'clock P M present the Solicitor for the Complainants The Solicitor for the defendant not being present with the Consent of the Solicitor for the Complainant I adjourned to Wednesday the 2nd day of November 4 O'clock P M. Met this Wednesday November 9th pursuant to adjournment present the Solicitor for the Complainants the Solicitor for the defendants not being present I adjourned to Friday Morning the 4th day of November at 10 O'clock A M Met this Friday November 4 pursuant to adjournment whereupon the Solicitor for the Complainants filed with the Auditor an agreement which is herewith returned marked N.L.R. No 1 and in accordance with said agreement I adjourned to Wednesday at 4 O'clock P M Met this Wednesday November 9th at 4 O'clock P M pursuant to adjournment present the Solicitor for the Complainants the Solicitor for the defendant not appearing I closed the testimony and now review the same Given under my hand and seal this 9th day of November A D. 1864.

Robt Lyon Rogers (Seal)
Auditor Or Co for Dauphin Cty.

Agreement referred to in the foregoing testimony marked N.L.R. No 1 as follows to Wit Brendel vs Miller, It is agreed that this case be postponed until Wednesday afternoon at 4 O'clock at which time unless the defendants produce testimony it is agreed that the account be stated

J Snyder — John T Snyder
For Complainant — For defendant

And afterwards to Wit on the 1st day of December the following exceptions were filed in the defendants to the Notification of the Auditors Report and accompanying