because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what Decree to give of and upon the premises, thereupon this case was continued by regularly continuances until the first Monday in Oct. 1868.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in April being Sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty eight come again into the the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said George Meyer et al above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the Decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed, and remanded for further proceedings. Thereupon the Court of Appeals filed their Opinion in the words, and of the tenor following, to wit:

James F. Butler, and others
vs
James G. Ridgaway Admr.
of Henry Ridgaway

Be it Remembered, That now here, on the first Monday in April being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty six the Judge of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City being in the Fifth Judicial Circuit of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmitts the Court of Appeals here the Record of Proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before him in the said Circuit Court of Baltimore City between James G. Ridgaway Admr. of Henry Ridgaway plaintiffs and James F. Butler et al defendants and wherein the said Circuit Court gave Decree for the said Jas. G. Ridgaway admr. of Henry Ridgaway against the said Jas. F. Butler et al et al and from which said Decree the said James F. Butler appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said Record of Proceedings is in form following, to wit:

State of Maryland, City of Baltimore, to wit:
At a session of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City in the Fifth Judicial Circuit of the State of Maryland, begun and held at the Court House in said City, on the Second Monday in November being the Tenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and sixty two,

Present The Honorable William George Krebs Judge
Edward R. Sparks Esquire, Sheriff,
& Thomas Adams, Clerk,

Among other were the following proceedings, to wit;

Henry Redgeway
vs
The Zion Union Wesleyan
Methodist Church
John Nettles
James F. Butler
George Stanesbury
Edward Marshall
Francis Bowers
William Soil
Charles Turner
Tilghman A. Young &
Samuel Chase &
Charles Matton

Be it Remembered, that at the above term, to wit; on the thirty-first day of December in the year one thousand eight hundred and sixty two came Henry Redgeway, into the Circuit Court for Balti City, hereby J. Yates Walsh, Esquire his Solicitor, and Exhibited to the said Court his Bill of Complaint, which is in form following, to wit;

To the Hon William George Krebs Judge of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, The Bill of Complaint of Henry Redgway of the City of Baltimore respectfully represents unto your Honor, That the church of Colored People situate