April Term 1868

before you saw Robert the full name of the Brother of Mr Chatterton now present
A. They called him Daniel the day I was there He came down in a Stage, and spent the day with us
2. Did they not call him Burt
A. They called him Daniel Mrs Chatterton called him Daniel as near as I can recollect.
2. Did you before seeing Robert M. Chatterton yesterday know that his name was Patillo
A. No I didnt know it. I didnt know it then
2. Has Robert Chatterton written down questions and shown them to you before you were sworn
A. Since I came in the room he has shown me and told me that the question whether I knew Daniel P. Chatterton in Cincinnati would be asked me whether he did business there
2. Did he tell you that he wanted to show that Daniel P. Chatterton did business in Cincinnati
A. I dont recollect that he did
2. Did the Chattertons or either of them owe your husband when they left Cincinnati
(Objected to as irrelevant)
A. I dont Know
2 Have you heard your husband say so
(Objected to not only as irrelevant but secondary testimony)
A. I dont Know any thing about it. I dont remember.
2. How long have you lived at 211 Dean St Brooklyn
A. Two years next sixth of July.
2. Did you come from Cincinnati when you came

April Term 1868

there
A. No
2. Do you know whether the Chattertons or Either of them had a Store with a sign up
A. No Sir
2. Did they not stop at a Store having the sign of John Milliken Commission Merchant over it
(Objected to as already answered by saying she didnt know they was any sign up)
A. I dont recollect I recollect Milliken having a Store there
2. Did not Milliken and the Chatterton's come to Cincinnati together or about the same time and did they not leave together or about the same time
(Objected to on the ground of its perfect irrelevancy
A. The first I saw there was about the same time but I think Milliken left before I am not certain
2. Were the circumstances and fact of the Chattertons leaving Cincinnati subjects of Newspaper Notoriety
(Objected to on the following ground
1st. The question includes R. M. Chatterton who is neither a party to action or witness in it.
2nd. It is wholly irrelevant
3rd It contains on its face an attack and a reflection upon D. P. Chatterton who is not a party to this action who is not and has not been a witness in this action, and who is now in a grave whose silence is his own defence he cannot break and whose sanctity ought to protect him from outrage
4th The whole question is not only an attempt at libel and to drag into this case improperly things that have no connection with it but is also —