October Term 1869.

one twenty-fifth which belongs to Mrs. Ann K Barnum; also one twenty-fifth which belongs to E. R. McSaughlin,

	4,800 00	$53,200 00
$1,000.00 City Hotel 5 per cent. stock,		750 00
$92000 ditto 6 per cent. stock,		5,980 00
		131,136 53
Net cash advanced by this accountant,	6,177 58	$124,958 97
Estate accounted for,		$127,890 49

Baltimore City, Ss:

On the 9th of April 1864, came Jenna Barnum, executor as aforesaid, and made oath on the Holy Evangels of Almighty God, that the aforegoing account is just and true as stated, and that he has paid or secured the payment of every sum or sums for which he craves an allowance, which, after examination, is passed by order of the Orphans' Court.

Test: Isaac F. Cook,
Register of Wills for Baltimore city.

In testimony that the aforegoing is a true copy taken from "Administration Accounts," Liber I. F. C. No. 74, folio 352, &c, being one of the records in the office of the Register of Wills for Baltimore city.

(Seal's Place.) I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of my office this twenty-seventh day of June, in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and sixty-seven. Test: Isaac F. Cook,
Register of Wills for Baltimore city.

October Term 1869.

(5c U.S.R. Stamp.)

And afterwards, to wit, on the first day of July, in the year aforesaid, the following answer of David B McSaughlin, Maria Louisa McSaughlin, David C. Gordon, Annie Gordon, William B. McSaughlin, Augustus McSaughlin, and Ephraim Kirby McSaughlin, by Messrs. Schley, Steele and Gogart, their solicitors, was filed in said cause to wit:

The joint and several answers of David B. McSaughlin and Maria Louisa McSaughlin, his wife, David C. Gordon, and Annie Gordon his wife, William B. McSaughlin, Augustus McSaughlin and Ephraim Kirby McSaughlin, to the bill of revivor and supplement against them exhibited in this Court, by David Barnum and Augustus K. Barnum, by their next friend, Grant Hewitt.

These respondents reserving to themselves all right of exception to the errors and imperfections in said bill contained, for answer thereunto, say, that it is true as stated in said bill, that the Complainants did file their bill of complaint in this Court, against Andrew McSaughlin and others, and by amendment against certain additional Defendants, praying for a sale of the City Hotel, in said bill mentioned, and for an account of the rents and profits thereof, and that said bill of Complaint, after answers thereto had been filed by the Defendants, and other proceedings had been had in the cause as the same now appears of record in this Court, was by the decree of this Court dismissed, with costs to the Defendants, and that upon appeal taken by the Complainants to the Court of —