October Term 1869.

David Barnum and Augustus K. Barnum infants &c. vs David C. Gordon and others } In the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

To the Honorable the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

The answer of Frederick W. Tilton and Caroline his wife, to the bill of revivor and supplement of David Barnum and Augustus K. Barnum — against them and others.

These defendants believe the matters stated in said bill of revivor and supplement to be true, but as they relate principally to the acts and doings of the other defendants, these defendants are in the — position (although defendants in form) substantially of co-complainants, and they therefore unite with the complainants in their prayers for sale, and for account and other relief, and also in their motion for receivers, and in case of the — appointment of receivers, these defendants, having with the other children of Eliza Stannard, one — third of the estate, or in the event of John Barnum's being entitled to one fourth, then to — one fourth of the estate, will claim to be represented in the management by the nomination of one of the Receivers. And they will insist upon all their rights under the decisions of the Court of Appeals, made or to be made in this case, or as the same may be adjudged upon any bill, petition, order, or other proceeding therein.

Edward Otis Hinkley,
for Respondents above named.

And on the same day and in the year last aforesaid, the following answer was also filed in said

October Term 1869.

cause to wit;

David Barnum and Augustus K. Barnum infants &c. vs David C. Gordon and others } In the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

To the Honorable the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

The answer of Jedediah Waterman, George Waterman, and Sarah B. Waterman to the further bill of revivor — against them, and Robert Waterman. Jedediah — Waterman. Charles M. Waterman. Frederick F. Waterman. Frances E. Waterman, and. Edward M. Waterman, in the above entitled cause.—

These respondents admit the death of Frances, wife of said Jedediah, respondent, and mother of the other respondents, and that the said Jedediah was the husband and the other respondents and said other defendants above named are the children of said Frances.

And these respondents adopt the answer heretofore filed by Jedediah Waterman, and will insist upon all their rights as the same may be adjudged in this case, or in any bill, petition, order, or other proceeding therein.

Edward Otis Hinkley,
for Respondents above named.

And on the same day and in the year last aforesaid, the following petition was filed in the said cause, to wit:

Barnum et al vs Gordon et al } In the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

To the Honorable Campbell Whyte Pinkney Judge. &c.