next thereafter.
On the 14th day of May 1869 said Petition and order of Court was returned by the Sheriff endorsed "Served".
On the 22d day of May 1869 the following answer of Henry Dill was filed, to wit;

William C. Satterfield vs Henry Dill } Circuit Court for Caroline County
In Equity
Petition for Habere Facias &c

The respondent answering the Petition in this cause filed says that the Habere Facias possessionem should not issue in this cause as prayed because
1st The said William C. Satterfield was not authorized by the terms of the alleged mortgage to make sale of the land and premises therein mentioned, and acquired no title to said land under said alleged sale.
2 The said William C. Satterfield is not entitled by law to have the writ of Habere Facias Possessionem in his behalf as is alleged in said Petition

John D. Kemp
David Blocksom
George M. Russum
Solrs for Respondent.

On said foregoing answer is endorsed an agreement of "J. B. Brown, Solicitor for Complainant," waiving, on part of William C. Satterfield, an affidavit to said answer by Henry Dill. On the 28th day of June 1869, by leave of the Court, the following amended answer of Henry Dill was filed, to wit;

William C. Satterfield vs Henry Dill } Petition for Habere Facias &c.
To the Hon. Judges of the Circuit Court for Caroline County. Henry Dill, by his Solicitors prays the Court that he be allowed to file the following reasons as his Amended answer to the Petition for Habere Facias filed in this cause.
1st Because the alleged assignment of the said mortgage made by Charles E. Carr to William C. Satterfield has never been recorded as required by law.
2 Because the said mortgage confers the power to sell on J. Hopkins Carr alone and the said authority is not transferred by the assignments of said mortgage debt.
3 Because the said William C. Satterfield is not entitled by law to have the said writ of Habere Facias issued in his behalf
4. Because no bond was filed by said Satterfield as required by law. And as in duty bound &c

D. Blocksom
J. D. Kemp for Dill.

On the said 28th day of June 1869, being the June Term of this Court, the Court ordered that argument be had on the aforegoing petition and answer at the next (October) Term.
At the October Term 1869 it was agreed by Counsel for Petitioner and respondent that argument be had on said Petition and answers, in Chambers, during the November Term of the Circuit Court for Queen Annes County.
On the 12th day of April 1870 the opinion of the Court (Judges Wickes and Stump) overruling the objections of Henry Dill to the issuing of the writ of Habere facias possessionem, was filed. To said opinion there was annexed the following order, to wit; It is thereupon this 11th day of April 1870, ordered that a writ of Habere facias possessionem be issued, directed to the Sheriff of Caroline County, commanding him to deliver possession to the said William C. Satterfield, of the lands and tenements mentioned and described in the mortgage and report of sales, ratified by the Court on the 16th April 1866 which are filed in this case, and which land and tenements are now in the possession of the defendant Henry Dill

Jos. A. Wickes
Frederick Stump.

April 11th 1870

On the 18th day of April 1870 the writ of Haber facias possessionem issued as directed in the aforegoing order. On the 16th day of May 1870, Henry Dill by George M. Russum Esquire his Solicitor, filed his petition praying an appeal from the order of the Court granting the writ of Habere facias possessionem, to which petition there is annexed the affidavit of said Henry Dill that the appeal is not taken for delay. On the 23d day of August 1870 the writ of Habere facias possessionem was returned endorsed "Henry Dill dispossessed and possession given to Wm C. Satterfield 19th April 1870 R. K. Richardson Shff;"
State of Maryland
Caroline County;
I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly extracted from the Record and proceedings of the Circuit Court for Caroline County, sitting as a Court of Equity, in the above entitled cause.

(Seal) In testimony whereof I hereto subscribed my name and affix the seal of said Court this first day of September Eighteen hundred and seventy
(5cts Stamp)

Thos. H. Kemp Clerk

The objections to the ratification of the sale made and reported by William C. Satterfield and the Opinion of the Court —