as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Decree by the Court of Appeals here, will be entered against them in default thereof; and the said John & Catherine Walter by their Attorneys aforesaid, say that in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the Record aforesaid, it appears that the Decree aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Conrad and Henry Riehl against the said John & Catharine Walter when, by the law of the land, that Decree ought to have been given for the said John & Catherine Walter against the said Conrad & Henry Riehl therefore, in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the Decree aforesaid, for the error and others, being in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that they the said John & Catherine Walter may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said Decree and that the said Conrad & Henry Riehl, may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth, and thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Conrad & Henry Riehl, join in the errors by the said John & Catharine Walter above assigned in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, or Decree by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof, and the said Conrad and Henry Riehl by their Attorneys aforesaid, say there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said John Walter & Catharine Walter above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth; thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an Act of Assembly in such cases made and provided, continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in April next; the same day is given to the said parties there, then to hear the Decree of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said first Monday in April being the day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy three, come again into the Court of Appeals

here, the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the Record and Proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said John Walter & Catharine Walter above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid; Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the Decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be affirmed and cause remanded &c.

And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Conrad and Henry Riehl have execution against the said John Walter & Catharine Walter, as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said Circuit Court of Baltimore City as also the sum of $92.15 adjudged unto the said Conrad Riehl and Catharine Riehl by the Court of Appeals here, on their assent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid by pretest of the prosecution of the said Appeal by the said John & Catharine Walter for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid, prosecuted &c.

Test; James S. Franklin, Clerk.