Julia told your orator, Mary, the above, narrated, in regard to the usage of renting said farm, and requested that your said orator, Mary, should allow the said Julia to rent said farm, as had been done by the said Louisa, and pay over to your orator, Mary, one half of the rent, less taxes and necessary repairs, &c. That having the utmost confidence in the said Julia, her aunt, and with whom she had been reared from infancy, and considered almost as a mother, she gave her consent to said proposition. That shortly afterwards she received an invitation from her said aunt, to come to Baltimore, to go with her on a visit. That in accordance with said invitation, she visited her aunt in Baltimore, on or about the eighteenth day of July, 1876. That the day after her arrival, her aunt told her that she wanted her to go to the office of Mr. Bannon, a lawyer, in Baltimore City, to execute an assignment, or something to that effect, to authorize her to rent said farm in her name, as above stated. Accordingly she repaired to said office, where she found a paper prepared for her to sign, which she executed, supposing that it was intended to authorize her aunt to rent said farm, &c., in the manner above related. That after having executed said paper, her aunt asked her if she knew what she had done, to which she replied in the affirmative, supposing it to have been the assignment above referred to. That shortly after, from the language of her aunt, and reports from neighbors, she was apprised of the fact, that there was something different in said writing from what she supposed it contained, and spoke to her aunt in regard to it, who told her not to be uneasy, that she would never cheat her.

That afterwards, in the fall of 1876, your orator, Mary, married your orator, James L. Goodwin, and after narrating the facts to

her husband, they determined to examine said paper-writing, and found that it purported to be a deed from the said Mary to the said Julia, in fee-simple, of all the real estate which the said Mary acquired under the will of the said Louisa, in consideration of the sum of fifty dollars, which said deed they found recorded in S.H., No. 10, folio 464, Land Records of said County, (as by a copy whereof, herewith filed, and marked "Exhibit No. 1. G, No. 1," will more fully appear, which they pray may be taken as a part of this bill, together with their other exhibits filed herewith.)

And your orators further allege, that the said Mary received no consideration whatever for said instrument of writing, not even the fifty dollars therein recited. That when she executed the same, she was not aware of the fact, that it was an absolute deed or any thing of that nature, but supposed it was only intended to carry out the agreement she had made with her aunt, in regard to renting said farm.

And your orators charge that said deed was a fraud upon your orators' just rights, and was gotten from your orator, Mary, by imposition and fraud, and without any consideration, *bona fide* made, and that the existence of said deed should not prevent your orators from having a partition of said real estate.

Your orators further allege, that the said Julia has collected the rents of said real estate, for the years 1876 and 1877, and has paid no part thereof to your orators.

To the end, therefore, that the said defendants may answer, the premises matters and things hereinbefore stated, upon their corporal oaths, as fully and particularly as if they were herein again repeated and they were thereunto specially interrogated, and that a decree may be passed by this Court for a partition of said land between the said Julia A. White