October Term 1882.

White, in her examination in chief, on page 51 of the record, and in reply to the 3rd interrogatory propounded to her, wherein she testified as to what Mary M. Goodwin said were the reasons she had for conveying to her the property, because such considerations were different, and of a different nature than those expressed in the deed.

5th. They except to the 11th interrogatory, and the answer thereto, propounded to Mrs. Julia A. White, on pages 56 and 57 of the testimony in regard to an interview between her and Jos. L. Goodwin, because when this testimony was taken, Mary M. Goodwin had departed this life, after which event it was not competent for Mrs. White, an original party to the deed, to testify to any matters and facts that had not been already testified to by Mary M. Goodwin. See new Code, page 750, sec 2.)

6. They except to the 3rd interrogatory propounded to Mrs. Augusta F. Duvall, and her answer thereto, on pages 65 and 66, of the testimony; 1st, because she proposes to give the contents of a letter, without producing it, or accounting for its loss; and also because an attempt is made, as before stated, to give other considerations for the execution of the deed in question, of a different character from that expressed in the deed; in other words, to prove by parole the considerations of a deed.

Wm. H. Tuck
Jas. H. Hodges
For Exceptants.

7th They further except to the testimony of M. Bannon, in so far as it tends to contradict Mary Maria Disney, as to what occurred between her and himself, at his house, because in the defendants cross-examination of her, in regard to said

October Term 1882.

matters, (see question 54, &c,) the defendants made her their witness, as to such matters, she not being examined thereto in chief.

Tuck & Hodges
For Exceptants.

The following exceptions were also filed, on 27th June 1882, but not signed by the exceptants or by solicitor.

Goodwin, vs White | In the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County, in Equity. April Term.

The defendants except to the following interrogatories and answers thereto, of Mary M. Disney, complainant.

To the third int. and ans. in chief, to Mary M. Disney, or Goodwin, because it is not responsive and is irrelevant, and because the witness was examined without notice to defendant.

To the 7th, for the same reasons.

To the 8th, for the same reasons.

And also to the following exception to James L. Goodwin, produced and examined on behalf of complainants.

To interrogatories one and two, on page 45, of the testimony and the answers thereto, he being called in rebuttal, being previously examined and cross-examined, the witness was exhausted, and could not be recalled at that stage.

The defendants except to the interrogatories and answers thereto, of Benjamin Goodwin, a witness called and examined on behalf of complainants.

To interrogatory No. 1. page 79. and answer thereto, because the witness had been exhausted, and having been examined