(Objected to.)

Answer. As I said before, I never noticed the slight-est failure of his mental powers; I never saw any of those papers, except the two just referred to; the fact of his mentioning the name of the executors twice would not induce me to regard that as a failure, because Judge Chase was a lawyer, and lawyers are very tenacious about words, and very often introduce them, when common people would leave them out; I simply mean, that that fact would not have induced me to change my mind about it.

Eighth x interrogatory. The fact upon which the last question was based was, whether the execution of a document, the last part of which showed (clearly) clearly that he had forgotten the first part, would cause you to believe that his memory was failing; upon the supposition that this is a fact, I now ask you whether that would lead you to modify your opinion, as previously expressed, and to aid you, will now read the paper, to which I refer?

Complainants counsel now reads 'Complainants' Exhibit G' filed with bill.)

(Objected to.)

Answer. As to all the provisions, I cannot say I comprehend them fully; I am an old man myself, and know that I often forget things; so far as I comprehend it, I don't see any reason for changing my mind.

9 x interrogatory. You have spoken of Judge Chase as being a lawyer, and it is a matter of history, that he held a high judicial position in this State;

would the fact, if it be a fact, that he prepared and executed, within the last four years of his life, six codicils to his will, and in the attestation clause of each one of those papers, omitted certain words invariably inserted by lawyers in such clauses, and very important to their full validity; would this fact indicate to you a decline in his mental powers?

(Objected to)

Answer. No, it would not.

Tenth x interrogatory. Would the fact, if it be a fact, that Judge Chase, in his will left certain bank stock to one of his daughters; that in a codicil to his will, he stated his previous sale of that stock, and left her a compensation in money for its loss, and that in a final codicil, he revoked the bequest to her of the stock, as though he still owned it, and left her a compensation in land, would these facts, supposing them to be such, lead you to believe that his mind, and especially his memory, had failed in the interval?

(Objected to.)

Answer. In regard to this point, as I understand the question, he gave her two compensations, one in money and one in land; I would think one of two things, either that he intended her to have, both money and land, or that he had forgotten at the time that he had already made the bank stock good to her.

Eleventh x interrogatory. During the frequent visits to Judge Chase's family, of which you have spoken, with whom did you generally converse?

Answer. The Judge was most always present with his family, when I was there, and I would always talk more with him than anyone else.