aforesaid be transmitted to the Court of Appeals of Maryland. And the same is transmitted accordingly

Test:

James R. Brewer

Clerk.

In Testimony that the aforegoing is a full and true transcript of the aforegoing papers taken from the Record of proceedings of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City in the therein entitled cause.

Seals Place

I hereto set my hand and affix the seal of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City aforesaid this 25th day of April A.D. 1883.

James R. Brewer
Clerk of the Circuit Court of
Baltimore City.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Jacob S Rosenthal by Jacob S. Rosenthal Esq his Attorney as the said Samuel Ruffin by Samuel J. Harman & Isador Rayner Esqrs his Attorneys and thereupon, on motion of the said Samuel Ruffin by his Attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Jacob S. Rosenthal assign the errors in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the Decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Decree by the Court of Appeals here, will be entered against him in default thereof: and the said Jacob S. Rosenthal by his Attorney aforesaid, says that in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit: that by the Record aforesaid, it appears that the Decree aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Samuel Ruffin



against the said Jacob S. Rosenthal when, by the law of the land, that Decree ought to have been given for the said Jacob S Rosenthal against the said Samuel Ruffin therefore, in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the Decree aforesaid, for that error and others, being in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Jacob S. Rosenthal may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said Decree and that the said Samuel Ruffin may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth; and thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Samuel Ruffin, join in the errors by the said Jacob S. Rosenthal above assigned in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, or Decree by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against him in default thereof: and the said Samuel Ruffin by his Attorneys aforesaid, says there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Jacob S. Rosenthal above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth; Whereupon as well the Record and Proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Jacob S. Rosenthal above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the Decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise, notwithstanding. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said